

INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

Psak v. Kardash

No. CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX, Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i (June 22, 2026)

SUMMARY

The Hawai‘i Intermediate Court of Appeals dismissed Keith Kardash’s appeal from a First Amended Injunction Against Harassment because the notice of appeal was filed more than 30 days after entry of the order. The court held that timely filing is jurisdictional and also dismissed all pending motions.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Karen T. Nakasone; Clyde J. Wadsworth; Daniel M. Gluck
JURISDICTION	Intermediate Court of Appeals of the State of Hawai‘i
DECIDED	June 22, 2026
DOCKET	CAAP-XX-XXXXXXX
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Respondent-Appellant appealed from a First Amended Injunction Against Harassment entered by the District Court of the First Circuit, Honolulu Division. The Intermediate Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal for lack of appellate jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was untimely.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed the record to determine whether it had appellate jurisdiction.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Keith Kardash v. Jerre Psak

TOPICS

- appellate jurisdiction
- appellate procedure
- injunctions
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- injunctions

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the appeal should be dismissed because the notice of appeal was filed more than thirty days after entry of the First Amended Injunction Against Harassment.
2. Whether the record showed an extension of time or a timely post-judgment motion that preserved or tolled the appellate filing deadline.

HOLDINGS

1. Timely filing of a notice of appeal is jurisdictional; because Kardash filed more than thirty days after entry of the First Amended Injunction Against Harassment and no extension or tolling motion appeared in the record, the court lacked appellate jurisdiction.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Compliance with the requirement of timely filing a notice of appeal is jurisdictional.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The District Court of the First Circuit entered a First Amended Injunction Against Harassment against Keith Kardash on November 1, 2023. Kardash filed his notice of appeal on April 2, 2026, more than thirty days after the order was entered, and the record did not show an extension or a timely post-judgment motion that tolled the deadline.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The district court entered a First Amended Injunction Against Harassment on November 1, 2023. Keith Kardash filed a notice of appeal on April 2, 2026, more than thirty days after entry of the order. The Intermediate Court of Appeals found no extension or tolling post-judgment motion and dismissed the appeal and all pending motions.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Grattafiori v. State, 79 Hawai‘i 10, 13, 897 P.2d 937, 940 (1995)