

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Eliseo Jojo
Prisno and P/E Capital Investment Management Partners

SEC v. Prisno · No. 1:25-cv-7491 · Decided March 25, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States Securities and Exchange Commission filed an agreed motion seeking entry of a consent judgment against defendant P/E Capital Investment Management Partners in an enforcement action. The proposed judgment would permanently enjoin alleged misconduct, resolve the merits without litigation, and reserve determination of monetary relief for a later motion.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jorge L. Alonso
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
DECIDED	March 25, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-cv-7491
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The SEC filed an agreed motion seeking entry of a consent judgment against defendant P/E Capital Investment Management Partners. The supplied document states the relief requested but does not contain a judicial ruling granting or denying the motion.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Eliseo Jojo Prisno, P/E Capital Investment Management Partners

TOPICS

- commercial litigation
- injunctions
- equitable relief
- civil procedure
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

- securities enforcement
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation
- remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should enter the proposed consent judgment against P/E Capital Investment Management Partners as part of a bifurcated settlement.
2. Whether monetary relief should be resolved at a later date through a subsequent SEC motion.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The proposed judgment eliminates the need to litigate the merits of the claims asserted against P/E Capital.”
(1)

“This type of partial settlement, a regular form of resolution in SEC enforcement actions, is often referred to as a “bifurcated” settlement.” (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The SEC alleges misconduct by P/E Capital in its complaint, but the supplied motion does not describe the underlying conduct in detail. P/E Capital agreed to the proposed consent judgment, which would permanently enjoin it from the alleged misconduct and defer determination of monetary relief.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The SEC brought an enforcement action against Eliseo Jojo Prisno and P/E Capital Investment Management Partners. P/E Capital consented to a proposed partial settlement under which the merits would not be litigated, injunctive relief would be entered, and monetary relief would be resolved later by motion.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- SEC v. Williky, 942 F.3d 389 (7th Cir. 2019)
- SEC v. Zenergy Int’l, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127630 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 20, 2016)

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND: EXCHANGE COMMISSION,: Plaintiff,: v.: Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-7491 ELISEO JOJO PRISNO and P/E: Hon. Jorge L. Alonso CAPITAL INVESTMENT: MANAGEMENT PARTNERS,: Defendants.: AGREED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT P/E CAPITAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT PARTNERS Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission, with the consent of defendant P/E Capital Investment Management Partners (“P/E Capital”), moves for the entry of a consent judgment.

The SEC has submitted the proposed judgment to the Court by email, and has also attached it as Exhibit 1 hereto. The SEC has also attached P/E Capital's consent, in which it agrees to the terms of the proposed judgment, as Exhibit 2 to this motion.

The SEC further states: 1. The proposed judgment eliminates the need to litigate the merits of the claims asserted against P/E Capital. It also permanently enjoins it from the misconduct alleged in the SEC's complaint. 2.

The proposed judgment further provides that the monetary relief sought by the SEC against P/E Capital shall be resolved by the Court at a later date, by way of an SEC motion. 3. This type of partial settlement, a regular form of resolution in SEC enforcement actions, is often referred to as a "bifurcated" settlement. See, e.g., SEC v. Williky, 942 F.3d 389 (7th Cir.

2019); SEC v. Zenergy Int'l, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127630 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 20, 2016). WHEREFORE, plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion, enter the proposed judgment attached as Exhibit 1 hereto, and grant such additional relief as the Court deems just and appropriate. Dated: March 25, 2026 Respectfully submitted, UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION /s/ Jonathan S. Polish Jonathan Polish, IL Bar No. 6237890 Alyssa Qualls IL Bar No. 6292124 Daniel Griffin, IL Bar No. 6289624 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 1450 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 353-7390 (312) 353-7398 (FAX) QuallsA@sec.gov PolishJ@sec.gov GriffinD@sec.gov LewisB@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission