UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Eliseo Jojo Prisno and P/E Capital Investment Management Partners

SEC v. Prisno · No. 1:25-cv-7491 · Decided March 25, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States Securities and Exchange Commission filed an agreed motion seeking entry of a consent judgment against defendant P/E Capital Investment Management Partners in an enforcement action. The proposed judgment would permanently enjoin alleged misconduct, resolve the merits without litigation, and reserve determination of monetary relief for a later motion.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jorge L. Alonso
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
DECIDED	March 25, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-cv-7491
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The SEC filed an agreed motion seeking entry of a consent judgment against defendant P/E Capital Investment Management Partners. The supplied document states the relief requested but does not contain a judicial ruling granting or denying the motion.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	United States Securities and Exchange Commission v. Eliseo Jojo Prisno, P/E Capital Investment Management Partners

TOPICS

commercial litigation · injunctions · equitable relief · civil procedure · remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

securities enforcement · civil procedure · commercial litigation · remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should enter the proposed consent judgment against P/E Capital Investment Management Partners as part of a bifurcated settlement.
2. Whether monetary relief should be resolved at a later date through a subsequent SEC motion.

KEY QUOTATIONS

> *"The proposed judgment eliminates the need to litigate the merits of the claims asserted against P/E Capital."* (1)

> *"This type of partial settlement, a regular form of resolution in SEC enforcement actions, is often referred to as a "bifurcated" settlement."* (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The SEC alleges misconduct by P/E Capital in its complaint, but the supplied motion does not describe the underlying conduct in detail. P/E Capital agreed to the proposed consent judgment, which would permanently enjoin it from the alleged misconduct and defer determination of monetary relief.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The SEC brought an enforcement action against Eliseo Jojo Prisno and P/E Capital Investment Management Partners. P/E Capital consented to a proposed partial settlement under which the merits would not be litigated, injunctive relief would be entered, and monetary relief would be resolved later by motion.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- SEC v. Williky, 942 F.3d 389 (7th Cir. 2019)
- SEC v. Zenergy Int'l, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127630 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 20, 2016)
