

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

**Abdullo Idiev v. Warden, Golden State Annex Detention Facility, et
al.**

Idiev · No. 1:25-cv-01778-SKO (HC) · Decided December 17, 2025

OPINION

Abdullo Idiev v. Warden, Golden State Annex Detention Facility, et al.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 ABDULLO IDIEV, Case No. 1:25-cv-01778-SKO (HC) 12 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING
MOTION FOR

APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

13 v. [Doc. 3]

14 WARDEN, GOLDEN STATE ANNEX

DETENTION FACILITY, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 18 Petitioner has requested the
appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute 19 right to appointment of counsel in
habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 20 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell
v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However,

21 Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the
case

22 if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. At
23 the present time, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of
24 counsel. 25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment
of 26 counsel is DENIED. 27 IT IS SO ORDERED. 1 Dated: December 17, 2025 /s/ Sheila K.
Oberto . 2

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27