

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA**

**Calvin Maron Robinson v. A. Scott, et al.**

No. 2:25-cv-1687 CSK P, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (September 19,  
2025)

---

**OPINION**

Scott

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 CALVIN MARON ROBINSON, No. 2:25-cv-1687 CSK P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14 A.SCOTT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner  
proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. On September 15, 18 2025, plaintiff filed a motion for  
subpoena to obtain medical records. Plaintiff's motion is 19 premature.1 Plaintiff is advised that  
once defendants have filed an answer, and the Court has 20 issued its discovery and scheduling  
order, plaintiff may request discovery from defendants. 21 Although plaintiff seeks his medical  
records in order to identify the nurses who treated him on

22 January 19, 2025, which claims are no longer at issue herein (ECF No. 23 at 1), plaintiff's

23 medical records are relevant to his claims for excessive force to demonstrate plaintiff's  
damages. 24 However, until the Court issues the discovery and scheduling order, plaintiff's  
motion for a 25 subpoena is premature and is denied. 26 1 In addition to requesting a copy of his  
medical records from the prison directly, plaintiff can 27 review his central files by requesting an  
Olson review. Olson v. Pope, 37 Cal. App. 3d 783

(1974); California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Department Operations Manual  
28 (2018), section 13030.16 et seq. ] Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's  
motion for a subpoena (ECF No. 2 || 26) 1s denied without prejudice. 3 4 | Dated: September 19,  
2025 Cin □□□

CHI SOO KIM

6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4 /I/robi1687.sdt 9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28