

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
WEST VIRGINIA**

Jason Michael Terrell v. United States of America

Terrell · No. Civil Action No. 2:23-00145 · Decided March 17, 2026

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON JASON MICHAEL TERRELL, Plaintiff, v. CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-00145 (Criminal No. 2:20-00154-01) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER By Standing Order, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Dwane L. Tinsley for submission of findings and recommendation regarding disposition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

Magistrate Judge Tinsley submitted to the court his Findings and Recommendation on February 3, 2026, in which he recommended that the court deny plaintiff's motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and dismiss this case from the court's active docket.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), plaintiff was allotted fourteen days and three mailing days in which to file any objections to Magistrate Judge Tinsley's Findings and Recommendation. The failure of any party to file such objections within the time allowed constitutes a waiver of such party's right to a de novo review by this court. *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363 (4th Cir.

1989). Neither party filed any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations within the requisite time period. Accordingly, the court adopts the Findings and Recommendations of Magistrate Judge Tinsley as follows: 1. Plaintiff's motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is DENIED; 2. This action is DISMISSED; and 3.

The Clerk is directed to remove this case from the court's active docket. Additionally, the court has considered whether to grant a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). A certificate will not be granted unless there is "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

The standard is satisfied only upon a showing that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by this court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling is likewise debatable. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *Rose v. Lee*, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir.

2001). The court concludes that the governing standard is not satisfied in this instance. Accordingly, the court DENIES a certificate of appealability. 2 The Clerk is further directed to

forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to counsel of record and unrepresented parties. IT IS SO ORDERED this 17th of March, 2026. ENTER: David A. Faber Senior United States District Judge

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-west-virginia-united-states-district-court/2026/jason-michael-terrell-v-united-states-of-america-pnav1kg0>