

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS

In re Hollie Kleitz

No. 01-26-00477-CV · No. 01-26-00477-CV · Decided May 8, 2026

SUMMARY

The First Court of Appeals of Texas denied Hollie Kleitz’s petition for a writ of mandamus challenging two trial court orders concerning judgment on the pleadings and an opposing party’s amended answer. The court also denied her request for emergency relief staying trial and dismissed any pending motion as moot.

OPINION

In Re Hollie Kleitz v. the State of Texas

PER CURIAM.

Opinion issued May 8, 2026 In The Court of Appeals For The First District of Texas

NO. 01-26-00477-CV

IN RE HOLLIE KLEITZ, Relator Original Proceeding on Petition for Writ of Mandamus

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator, Hollie Kleitz, filed a petition for writ of mandamus challenging two trial court orders signed on May 6, 2026, including an order denying relator’s “Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or, in the Alternative, Motion to Deem Allegations Admitted,” and an order “granting [r]eal [p]arty in [i]nterest Avi Ron’s Motion for Leave to Amend” his answer.¹ Relator’s petition for writ of mandamus requested that the Court direct the trial court to vacate the orders. Relator further requested emergency relief to stay trial in the underlying cause, which had commenced at the time the mandamus petition was filed. We conclude that relator has failed to establish she is entitled to mandamus relief, and therefore, the Court denies relator’s petition for writ of mandamus. We further deny relator’s request for emergency relief. We dismiss any pending motion as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Gunn, Caughey, and Morgan. ¹ The underlying case is Avi Ron v. Hollie Kleitz and Quasar Development, Inc., Cause No. 2021-81872, in the 113th District Court of Harris County, Texas, the Honorable Rabeea Sultan Collier presiding ²