

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Miholich v. The Real Brokerage, Inc., et al.

Miholich · No. 24-cv-01038-AGS-JLB · Decided June 5, 2025

OPINION

Miholich v. The Real Brokerage, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 KYLE MIHOLICH, individually and on Case No.: 24-cv-01038-AGS-JLB behalf of all
others similarly situated, 12

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S

Plaintiff,

13 APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER

v. SEAL 14 THE REAL BROKERAGE, INC., et al., 15 [ECF No. 66] Defendants. 16 17 18 19
Before the Court is Plaintiff Kyle Miholich’s (“Plaintiff”) Application to File Under 20 Seal
 (“Motion to Seal”). (ECF No. 66.) Plaintiff seeks to file under seal certain documents 21
submitted in connection with its redacted Motion to Compel Further Responses from Non- 22
Party Lofty, Inc. to Subpoena (ECF No. 40). Given the confidential business information 23
included in the documents, the Court finds that good cause has been established for 24 sealing.
Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). 25 Good cause
appearing, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff’s Motion to Seal (ECF No. 66) and 26 DENIES AS
MOOT Plaintiff’s previous Motion to Seal Documents Marked Confidential 27 and to File
Redacted Motion (ECF No. 38). 28 /// 1 || Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to file
under seal Plaintiff’s unredacted 2 || Motion to Compel Further Responses from Non-Party Lofty,
Inc. to Subpoena including 3 || Exhibit C (TRB00001—46) and Exhibit D (TRB00166—86).
(ECF No. 67.) 4 IT IS SO ORDERED. 5 || Dated: June 5, 2025 - n. Jill L. Burkhardt 7 ited States
Magistrate Judge 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28