

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA

Garrett Electronics, Inc. v. The Individuals, Corporations, Limited
Liability Companies, Partnerships, and Unincorporated Associations
Identified on Schedule A

Garrett Electronics · No. 24-24346-CIV-MARTINEZ/Sanchez · Decided March 24, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida adopts and affirms a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation concerning the plaintiff’s motion for final default judgment. The court grants the motion and directs that a separate final judgment follow.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jose E. Martinez
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
DECIDED	March 24, 2026
DOCKET	24-24346-CIV-MARTINEZ/Sanchez
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's report and recommendation recommending entry of final default judgment against the defendants. No objections were filed, and the district court adopted the report and recommendation and granted the plaintiff's motion.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Because no objections were filed, the district court did not conduct de novo review of issues covered in the report and recommendation. Unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions may not be challenged on appeal, subject to the cited authorities.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown

TOPICS

- default judgment
- default
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

commercial litigation

trademark infringement

default judgment

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's report and recommendation when no objections were timely filed.
2. Whether the plaintiff's motion for entry of final default judgment should be granted.

HOLDINGS

1. A party's failure to timely object to issues addressed in a magistrate judge's report and recommendation bars de novo review by the district judge and bars appellate attack on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions.
2. The court affirmed and adopted the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and granted Garrett Electronics, Inc.'s motion for entry of final default judgment.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"The failure to timely file objections bars the parties from a de novo determination by the District Judge of an issue covered in the R&R and bars the parties from attacking on appeal unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions contained in the R&R."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The action involved Garrett Electronics, Inc.'s claims against individuals, corporations, limited liability companies, partnerships, and unincorporated associations identified on Schedule A. The defendants were subject to a motion for entry of final default judgment. The opinion does not recite the underlying trademark-infringement facts or the basis for the recommended judgment.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff moved for entry of final default judgment against defendants. Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez issued a report and recommendation recommending that the motion be granted. After the objection deadline expired without objections, the district court affirmed and adopted the report and recommendation and ordered that a final judgment follow by separate order.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)
- Henley v. Johnson, 885 F.2d 790, 794 (11th Cir. 1989)

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 24-24346-CIV-MARTINEZ/Sanchez GARRETT ELECTRONICS, INC., Plaintiff, V. THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A, Defendants. ee ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION THIS CAUSE is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the Honorable Eduardo I. Sanchez, United States Magistrate Judge, on Plaintiff’s Motion for Entry of Final Default Judgment Against Defendants [ECF No. 67].

Judge Sanchez recommends that Plaintiff’s Motion be granted. (See [ECF No. 72]). The Court has reviewed the entire file and record, and to date, no objections have been filed to the R&R. The deadline to file objections has now expired.

The failure to timely file objections bars the parties from a de novo determination by the District Judge of an issue covered in the R&R and bars the parties from attacking on appeal unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions contained in the R&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *Henley v. Johnson*, 885 F.2d 790, 794 (11th Cir.

1989); 11th Cir. R. 3-1 (2016). Accordingly, after careful consideration, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that: 1. Judge Sanchez’s R&R [ECF No. 72] is AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. 2. Plaintiffs Motion for Entry of Final Default Judgment Against Defendants [ECF No. 67] is GRANTED. CASE NO, 24-24346-CIV-MARTINEZ 3. A Final Judgment shall follow by separate order. DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this oy, day of March 2026.

1% JOSEJE. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ce: Magistrate Judge Sanchez
all counsel of record