

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Lorelie Totanes v. Target Corporation

Totanes · No. 2:23-cv-01681-CDS-DJA · Decided December 4, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada grants the parties' joint motions to schedule a settlement conference and stay the case. The court refers the matter to a magistrate judge, vacates all pending deadlines, and directs the parties to submit a proposed joint pretrial order within thirty days if settlement efforts fail.

OPINION

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3} Lorelie Totanes, Case
No.: 2:23-cv-01681-CDS-DJA 4 Plaintiff Order Granting Joint Motion for Settlement Conference
and Joint Motion to Stay S} V. 6} Target Corporation, [ECF Nos. 57, 58] 7 Defendant 8 9 The
parties jointly seek to schedule a settlement conference and move to stay all deadlines, specifically
the joint pretrial order deadline, pending the outcome of the settlement 11} conference.!

Mot., ECF No. 57. The parties argue that, in the interest of resolution, good cause 12} exists to
stay this case to allow them the opportunity to potentially settle their claims. Id. at 2. B “The
purpose of a settlement conference is to facilitate a settlement or to narrow the 14} disparity
between the parties by the candid input of a neutral, disinterested judicial officer.” 15} Pitman v.
Brinker Int’l, Inc., 216 F.R.D.

481, 485 (D. Ariz. 2003). Here, I expect that, where the 16} parties have requested a settlement
conference, they intend to engage in good faith negotiations 17} to settle the matter. For that
reason, the parties’ joint motions [ECF Nos. 57, 58] are 18} GRANTED. 19 This matter is referred
to the magistrate judge for a settlement conference. All pending 20} deadlines are vacated.

If settlement efforts fail, the parties must submit a proposed joint pretrial 21} order within thirty
days of the completed settlement conference 22 Dated: December 3, 2025 LZ 23 Ae 34 Cristi aD.
Silva. ited States District Judge / ' The parties properly filed the motion twice seeking two forms
of relief. ECF No. 57; ECF No. 58.

For ease of the record, I refer to the first filed only.