

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Lorelie Totanes v. Target Corporation

Totanes · No. 2:23-cv-01681-CDS-DJA · Decided December 4, 2025

OPINION

Totanes

PER CURIAM.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3}| Lorelie Totanes, Case No.: 2:23-cv-01681-CDS-DJA 4 Plaintiff Order Granting Joint Motion
for Settlement Conference and Joint Motion to Stay S}| V. 6}| Target Corporation, [ECF Nos. 57,
58] 7 Defendant 8 9 The parties jointly seek to schedule a settlement conference and move to stay
all deadlines, specifically the joint pretrial order deadline, pending the outcome of the settlement
11}| conference. Mot., ECF No. 57. The parties argue that, in the interest of resolution, good cause
12}| exists to stay this case to allow them the opportunity to potentially settle their claims. Id. at 2.
B “The purpose of a settlement conference is to facilitate a settlement or to narrow the 14}|
disparity between the parties by the candid input of a neutral, disinterested judicial officer.” 15}|
Pitman v. Brinker Int’l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485 (D. Ariz. 2003). Here, I expect that, where the
16}| parties have requested a settlement conference, they intend to engage in good faith
negotiations 17}| to settle the matter. For that reason, the parties’ joint motions [ECF Nos. 57, 58]
are 18}| GRANTED. 19 This matter is referred to the magistrate judge for a settlement conference.
All pending 20}| deadlines are vacated. If settlement efforts fail, the parties must submit a
proposed joint pretrial 21}| order within thirty days of the completed settlement conference. 22}|
Dated: December 3, 2025 LZ 23 Ae 34 Cristi aD. Silva . itéd States District Judge / ' The parties
properly filed the motion twice seeking two forms of relief. ECF No. 57; ECF No. 58. For ease of
the record, I refer to the first filed only.