

SUPREME COURT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In re Juvenile 2003-189

150 N.H. 155 (2003) · No. 2003-189 · Decided October 14, 2003

SUMMARY

The New Hampshire Supreme Court considered whether a school qualifies as a "custodian" under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b) for purposes of a children in need of services petition. The court held that a school is not a statutory custodian because the term refers to one having legal custody of the child, and it reversed and remanded for consideration of whether the petition alleged another legal basis for relief.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of New Hampshire
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Dalianis, J.; Brock, C.J.; Broderick, J.; Nadeau, J.; Duggan, J.
JURISDICTION	New Hampshire
DECIDED	October 14, 2003
DOCKET	2003-189
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Interlocutory appeal from the Claremont District Court's denial of the juvenile's motion to dismiss a CHINS petition.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	De novo review of the trial court's statutory interpretation and legal conclusion.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published precedential opinion
PARTIES	Juvenile 2003-189 v. State

TOPICS

- statutory interpretation
- interlocutory appeal
- appellate procedure
- family law procedure
- family law

PRACTICE AREAS

- Family law
- Juvenile law
- Appellate practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether a school qualifies as a "custodian" for purposes of a CHINS petition under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b).
2. Whether the CHINS petition stated a legal basis for relief under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b).

HOLDINGS

1. A school is not a "custodian" under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b).
2. The petition could not establish a legal basis for relief under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b) based on the school's alleged status as a custodian; on remand, the trial court may determine whether the petition alleges another legal basis under RSA 169-D:2, IV.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Accordingly, we conclude that a school is not a “custodian” under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b).” (150 N.H. at 157)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In November 2002, the juvenile's school filed a CHINS petition concerning an eighth-grade student who had been suspended for eight days and given five detentions between August 28 and November 20, 2002. The petition alleged classroom disruption, failure to respect people and property, failure to follow directions, harassment, obscene language toward staff, and theft. The petition relied on the theory that the school was a custodian whose commands the juvenile had repeatedly disregarded.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The juvenile's school filed a CHINS petition alleging repeated disciplinary and misconduct incidents. The juvenile moved to dismiss, arguing that the petition failed to allege a legal basis for relief. The Claremont District Court denied the motion, ruling that the school qualified as a custodian under RSA 169-D:2, IV(b). The Supreme Court of New Hampshire accepted the interlocutory appeal, reversed, and remanded.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The trial court may determine whether the CHINS petition otherwise alleges a legal basis for relief under RSA 169-D:2, IV.

CASES CITED

- Pennelli v. Town of Pelham, 148 N.H. 365, 366 (2002)
- Petition of Hoyt, 143 N.H. 533, 535 (1999)
- Franklin Lodge of Elks v. Marcoux, 149 N.H. 581, 585 (2003)
- Nault v. N & L Dev. Co., 146 N.H. 35, 37 (2001)

