

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Liam Martinez-Luna, et al. v. Venna R. Mamidi, et al.

No. 1:25-cv-00433-JLT-SKO, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (April 25, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California addressed the parties' joint stipulation to dismiss the action without prejudice. The court found the dismissal effective under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) and directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Sheila K. Oberto
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	April 25, 2025
DOCKET	1:25-cv-00433-JLT-SKO
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties jointly stipulated to dismissal of the action without prejudice, and the court directed the clerk to close the case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential district court order

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties' joint stipulation was effective to terminate the action without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

HOLDINGS

1. The parties' joint stipulation dismissed the action without prejudice and terminated the case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

KEY QUOTATIONS

“In light of the parties’ stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed without prejudice.” (lines 18-22)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. The material procedural fact is that the appearing parties jointly stipulated on April 23, 2025, to dismissal without prejudice.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. On April 23, 2025, the appearing parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action without prejudice; the court recognized the termination under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) and ordered the case closed.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)