

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CITY BOXING CLUB v. USA BOXING, INC. dba USA BOXING

No. 2:23-cv-00708-JAD-DJA, United States District Court for the District of Nevada (December 11, 2025)

SUMMARY

This document is a stipulation and order in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada extending the deadlines for responses and replies concerning several dispositive motions. The court-approved extension changes the response deadline to February 5, 2026, and the reply deadline to March 12, 2026.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jennifer A. Dorsey
DECIDED	December 11, 2025
DOCKET	2:23-cv-00708-JAD-DJA
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties stipulated to, and the court ordered, an extension of the deadlines for responses and replies concerning multiple pending dispositive motions.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential

TOPICS

- summary judgment
- discovery dispute
- insurance
- commercial litigation
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- insurance
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the court should approve the parties' stipulation extending the deadlines for responses and replies to the pending dispositive motions.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The case involves multiple pending dispositive motions, including plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment and summary-judgment motions by Scottsdale Insurance Company, K&K Insurance Group, and USA

Boxing. The parties represented that the case and briefing were complex and that a discovery motion was pending. They agreed that additional time was warranted for responding to and replying to the dispositive motions.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiffs and defendants had previously obtained an extension of the briefing schedule for pending summary-judgment motions. The parties sought an additional extension because of the complexity and length of the case and motions, while a discovery motion was also pending. The court approved the stipulated extension, setting response briefs for February 5, 2026, and reply briefs for March 12, 2026.

DISPOSITION

other

OPINION

1 Daniel R. Price (NV Bar No. 13564) Christopher Beckstrom (NV Bar No. 14031) 2 Janice J. Parker (NV Bar No. 14102) PRICE & BECKSTROM 3 1404 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 4 Phone: (702) 941-0503 Fax: (702) 832-4026 5 info@pbnv.law Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 CITY BOXING CLUB, et al., Case No.: 2:23-cv-00708-JAD-DJA 9 Plaintiffs, STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR RESPONSE 10 v. AND REPLY REGARDING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS 11 USA BOXING, INC. dba USA BOXING, et al., 12 Defendants.

13 THE PARTIES, by and through undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree to extend the time 14 for responses and replies regarding Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, Defendant 15 Scottsdale Insurance Company's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial 16 Summary Judgment, K&K Insurance Group's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, 17 Partial Summary Judgment, and USA Boxing's Motion for Summary Judgment.

[Respectively ECF 18 Nos. 111, 107, 108, and 109]. 19 The parties previously stipulated, and the Court granted, an extension of this briefing 20 schedule. [See ECF No. 115.] The parties now seek this additional extension. 21 All of the parties agree that an additional extension of the briefing schedule is warranted 22 because this is a complex case and because the pending dispositive motions are lengthy and dense.

23 In addition, a discovery motion [ECF No. 102], is currently pending before the Court and 24 1 motions. Defendants, on the other hand, do not believe that resolution of that pending discovery 2 motion is necessary to complete the briefing for the pending dispositive motions. But Defendants 3 are nonetheless agreeable to an extension of the existing briefing schedule for those dispositive 4 motions as set forth below.

5 In light of the forgoing, the parties seek the following extension of time for response and 6 reply
briefs regarding each of the dispositive motions mentioned above: 7 8 / / / This intentionally left
blank. Signatures on following page. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 1 Van
Damme v. Scottsdale, et. al. 2:23-cv-00708-JAD-DJA 2 SAO to Extend MSJ Briefing 3 Current
Deadline Proposed Deadline 4 Dispositive Motions: | October 6, 2025 Passed 5 Response:
December 22, 2025 February 5, 2026 6 Reply: January 26, 2026 March 12, 2026 7 Dated:
December 11, 2025.

Dated: December 11, 2025. 8 /s/ Daniel Price /s/ Wing Yan Wong (with permission) 9 || Daniel R.
Price, Esq. Wing Yan Wong, Esq. Christopher Beckstrom, Esq. Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani,
LLP 10 || Janice Parker, Esq. 300 S. 4TM Street, Suite 1550 Krysa Scully, Esq. Las Vegas, NV
89101 11 || PRICE & BECKSTROM 1404 S. Jones Blvd. Stephen A. Hess, Esq. 12 || Las Vegas,
Nevada 89146 Law Office of Stephen A. Hess, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 111 South Tejon, Suite 102 13 Colorado Springs, CO. 80903 Attorneys for
USA Boxing, Inc. 14 |} Dated: December 11, 2025. 15 /s/ Brian Pelanda (with permission)
Christine M. Emanuelson, Esq. 16 || Nicole Hampton, Esq. Brian L. Pelanda, Esq. 17 || Hines
Hampton Pelanda LLP 400 South 4" Street 18 || Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Scottsdale
Insurance Company, 19 || and K&K Insurance Group 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. awe ☐☐ ☐ 23
JENNIFER A. DORSEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 DATED: 12-11-25