

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Edward D. Gilmore v. D. Castillo

Gilmore v. Castillo · No. 1:21-cv-00617 JLT GSA (PC) · Decided September 22, 2025

OPINION

Castillo

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 EDWARD D. GILMORE, No. 1:21-cv-00617 JLT GSA (PC)

12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS

13 v. (Doc. 44)

14 D. CASTILLO,

15 Defendant. 16

17 The magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations to grant Defendant's motion 18 for
terminating sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(A)(v). (Doc. 44.) 19
The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that any 20
objections to the findings and recommendations were due within 14 days. (Id. at 9.) Plaintiff has
21 not filed objections, and the time to do so has passed. 22 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(C), this Court performed a de novo review of this 23 case. Having carefully reviewed the matter,
the Court concludes the Findings and 24 Recommendations are supported by the record and
proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS: 25 1. The findings and recommendations issued
August 29, 2025 (Doc. 44), are ADOPTED 26 IN FULL. 27 2. Consistent with Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(A)(v) and 41(b); Malone v. 28 United States Postal Service, 833 F.2d
128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987), and Local Rule 110, Defendant's 1 | motion for terminating sanctions
(Doc. 40) is GRANTED. 2 3. This matter is DISMISSED with prejudice. 3 4. The Clerk of Court
is directed to CLOSE this case. 4 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Dated: _ September 22, 2025 Cerin |
Tower

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28