

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

I.W. v. Clovis Unified School District

I.W. · No. 1:24-cv-01074 JLT BAM · Decided April 18, 2025

OPINION

I.W. v. Clovis Unified School District

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 I.W., a minor, by and through his mother, Case No. 1:24-cv-01074 JLT BAM Ruth Aguirre,

12 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND

Plaintiff, RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING

13 PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF

v. MINOR’S COMPROMISE, AND

14 APPROVING MINOR’S COMPROMISE

CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,

15 (Docs. 21, 26) Defendant. 16 17 I.W., a minor, by and through his mother and guardian ad
litem, Ruth Aguirre, alleges that 18 the Clovis Unified School District (“CUSD”) violated his
rights under the American with 19 Disabilities Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973,
the Individuals with Disabilities 20 Education Act, and the California Education Code. Following
a notice of settlement, Plaintiff 21 filed a motion for approval of the minor’s compromise. (Doc.
21.) The motion was submitted to 22 the assigned magistrate judge for the issuance of findings
and recommendations. (Doc. 6-1 at 2.) 23 On April 1, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued
Findings and Recommendations 24 that the motion for approval of minor’s compromise be
granted and the settlement be approved as 25 fair and reasonable. (Doc. 26.) The Court served the
Findings and Recommendations on all 26 parties, and notified them that any objections were due
within fourteen (14) days. (Id. at 15.) 27 The Court also informed the parties that “the failure to
file objections within the specified time 28 may result in the waiver of the ‘right to challenge the
magistrate’s factual findings’ on appeal.” 1 | Cd. at 15-16, quoting *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d
834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) On April 8, 2 | 2025, Plaintiff filed a statement of non-objection to the
Findings and Recommendations. (Doc. 3 | 27.) CUSD did not file any objections, and the time in
which to do so has passed. 4 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court conducted a de
novo review of the 5 | case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes that
the findings and 6 || recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, the Court 7 | ORDERS: 8 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on April 1, 2025 (Doc. 26) are 9 ADOPTED. 10 2. Plaintiff's motion for approval of minor's compromise (Doc. 21) is GRANTED. 11 3. The settlement is APPROVED as fair and reasonable. 12 B IT IS SO ORDERED. | Dated: _ April 18, 2025 Cerin | Tower

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28