

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

**Charles S. Singer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated v. Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc.**

Singer · No. 2:25-cv-01852-CDS-MDC · Decided December 15, 2025

---

OPINION

1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Charles S. Singer,  
individually and on behalf of Case No. 2:25-cv-01852-CDS-MDC all others similarly situated, 5  
Order Directing Parties to File a Joint Status Report 6 Plaintiff 7 V. 8|| Diversified Adjustment  
Service, Inc., 9 Defendant 10 || Plaintiff Charles Singer, individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated, filed a 12|| notice indicating the dispute with Diversified Adjustment Services,  
Inc. is resolved.

Notice, ECF No. 10. Where no class has been certified and dismissal would not affect putative  
class members' claims, Rule 23(e) does not mandate either court approval of the parties'  
settlement or notice to 15|| putative class members. *Gaefcke v. Flatiron West, Inc.*, 2024 U.S. Dist.  
LEXIS 5390, \*3 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 16|| 9, 2024) (citing *Titus v. BlueChip Fin.*, 786 F. App'x 694, 695  
(9th Cir.

2019)). In such a case, the 17|| settlement of this putative class would be governed by Rule 41 and  
a voluntary dismissal or joint 18|| stipulation of dismissal would be sufficient. However, because  
the court is unsure whether 19|| Singer intends to dismiss the putative class members' claims with  
or without prejudice, the 20|| parties are instructed to file a joint status report so scvgy by  
December 29, 2025.

21 Dated: December 15, 2025 / jf 22 Lj, — U ite States District Judge 24 25 26