

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA, FORT MYERS DIVISION**

**Vanessa Ponce, on behalf of Shair Ali v. Warden, Alligator Alcatraz,
Florida Soft Side South; and U.S. Attorney General**

Ali · No. 2:26-cv-44-KCD-DNF · Decided February 4, 2026

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION VANESSA PONCE, ON BEHALF OF SHAIR ALI, Case No. 2:26-cv-44-KCD-DNF Plaintiffs, v. WARDEN, ALLIGATOR ALCATRAZ, FLORIDA SOFT SIDE SOUTH; AND U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, Defendants. / ORDER Before the Court is a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 prepared and filed by Vanessa Ponce, on behalf of Shair Ali, a Pakistani national who is being detained by Immigration and Customs Enforcement at Alligator Alcatraz.

(Doc. 1.) An “[a]pplication for a writ of habeas corpus shall be in writing signed and verified by the person for whose relief it is intended or by someone acting in his behalf.” 28 U.S.C. § 2242. The latter part of this provision codifies the common law tradition of permitting a “next friend” to litigate on behalf of a person who, because of incompetence, is unable to initiate a habeas action himself.

See *Whitmore v. Arkansas*, 495 U.S. 149, 162 (1990). But “[n]ext friend’ standing is by no means granted automatically[.]” *Id.* at 163. To demonstrate “next friend” status is warranted, the would-be next friend must (1) provide an adequate explanation for the necessity of the designation, such as the real party’s mental incompetence or lack of access to the courts and (2) show she is “truly dedicated to the interests” of the real party.

Id. Ponce has not met this standard. Although Ponce is Ali’s spouse, there is no indication that Ali is mentally incompetent or has been denied access to the courts. Ponce’s conclusory assertion that Ali cannot file on his own behalf “due to his language barrier,” see Doc. 1 at 1, is unsupported and conclusory. This Court sees numerous pro se petitioners in immigration custody seeking relief who face the same conditions and barriers as Ali and are able to litigate their petition.

At bottom, Ponce has not shown that Ali warrants any special protection by a next friend to litigate on his behalf. Because Ponce does not demonstrate the propriety of “next friend” status, she lacks standing to initiate this action on Ali’s behalf. See *Francis v. Warden, FCC Coleman-USP*, 246 F. App’x 621, 622 (11th Cir. 2007) (“Absent ‘next friend’ status, an individual lacks

Article III standing to file a petition on another's behalf, thus stripping the district court of jurisdiction to consider the petition.”); *Weber v. Garza*, 570 F.2d 511, 514 (5th Cir.

1978) (“[W]hen the application for habeas corpus filed by a would be ‘next friend’ does not set forth an adequate reason or explanation of the necessity for resort to the ‘next friend’ device, the court is without jurisdiction to consider the petition.”). What is more, even if there was next-friend status, Ponce does not claim to be a lawyer. So she cannot represent her spouse in this action.

See *Warner v. Sch. Bd. of Hillsborough Cnty., Fla.*, No. 23-12408, 2024 WL 2053698, at *2 (11th Cir. May 8, 2024) (“[A] parent cannot represent a child pro se.”); *Marcia Turner v. Neptune Towing & Recovery, Inc.*, No. 8:09-CV- 1071-T-27AEP, 2011 WL 2981786, at *2 (M.D. Fla. July 22, 2011) “Under Rule 17(c), a representative does not have the right to appear on behalf of a minor or an incompetent person unless that representative is represented by counsel.”); *Bey on behalf of Baxter v. Fla.*, No. 4:24CV517/MW/ZCB, 2025 WL 3501425, at *1 n.2 (N.D.

Fla. Jan. 6, 2025). Accordingly, it is ORDERED: 1. The Petition (Doc. 1) is DISMISSED without prejudice. 2. The Clerk shall enter judgment dismissing this case without prejudice, terminate any pending motions as moot, and close the case. ENTERED in Fort Myers, Florida on February 4, 2026. KyleC.Dudek ~~ United States District Judge