

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

Graham v. Collins

Graham v. Collins · No. SCPW-XX-XXXXXXX · Decided April 16, 2026

OPINION

Graham v. Collins

PER CURIAM.

Electronically Filed Supreme Court

SCPW-XX-XXXXXXX

16-APR-2026

08:53 AM Dkt. 6 ODDP

SCPW-XX-XXXXXXX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

NATHANIEL GRAHAM; JOEL KREISS; and HAWAII’S ELECTRIC BIKE, LLC

dba RIDESMART MAUI, Petitioners, vs.

THE HONORABLE LANCE COLLINS,

Judge of the District Court of the Second Circuit, State of Hawai‘i, Respondent Judge, and

RIDESMART MAUI LLC; LEE A. CHAMBERLAIN, Respondents.

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

(CASE NO. 2DRC-XX-XXXXXXX)

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

(By: McKenna, Acting C.J., Eddins, Ginoza, and Devens, JJ., and Circuit Judge Holma, assigned by reason of vacancy) Upon consideration of the petition for writ of mandamus filed August 19, 2025, and the record, counsel’s former representation of Respondent Ridesmart Maui LLC is substantially related to the litigation in 2DRC-XX-XXXXXXX. See Hawai‘i Rules of Professional Conduct (HRPC) Rule 1.9(a); Straub Clinic & Hosp. v. Kochi, 81 Hawai‘i 410, 414-17, 917 P.2d 1284, 1288-91

(1996). Additionally, the interests of counsel’s former and present clients are materially adverse to each other in 2DRC-24- 0001244. See HRPC Rule 1.9(a); Straub, 81 Hawai‘i at 417, 917 P.2d at 1291. The district court’s order granting the motion to disqualify counsel does not amount to a flagrant and manifest abuse of discretion. See Straub, 81 Hawai‘i at 414-15, 917 P.2d at 1288-89. It is ordered that the petition is denied. DATED: Honolulu, Hawai i, April 16, 2026. /s/ Sabrina S. McKenna /s/ Todd W. Eddins /s/ Lisa M. Ginoza /s/ Vladimir P. Devens /s/ Karin L. Holma 2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/supreme-court-of-the-state-of-hawai-i-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-hawai-i/2026/graham-v-collins-rsz2tsq0>