

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
PENNSYLVANIA

**Steve Wooden v. Superintendent Joseph Terra, Philadelphia County
District Attorney's Office**

Wooden · No. 2:23-cv-1366 · Decided December 3, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania denied and dismissed Steve Wooden's motion for relief from judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b). The court also denied a certificate of appealability to the extent the motion could be construed as a successive petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2244.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA STEVE WOODEN,; Petitioner,; Case No. 2:23-cv-1366 v.: SUPERINTENDENT JOSEPH TERRA,; PHILADELPHIA COUNTY DISTRICT: ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,; Respondents.: O R D E R AND NOW, this 3rd day of December, 2025, for the reasons set forth in the Opinion issued this date, IT IS ORDERED THAT: Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b), ECF No. 23, is DENIED and DISMISSED.¹ BY THE COURT: /s/ Joseph F. Leeson, Jr._____ JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR. United States District Judge 1 To the extent the motion could be construed as a successive petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2244, a certificate of appealability is denied because Wooden has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, nor would jurists of reason find the Court's assessment debatable or wrong.

See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). 1