

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Toinesha L. Swim v. IC Systems, Inc.

Swim v. IC Systems · No. EDCV 22-546 JGB (SPx) · Decided March 7, 2023

OPINION

Toinesha Swim v. IC Systems Inc

PER CURIAM.

Case 5:22-cv-00546-JGB-SP Document 34 Filed 03/07/23 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #:138

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. EDCV 22-546 JGB (SPx) Date March 7, 2023 Title Toinesha L. Swim v. IC Systems, Inc. Present: The Honorable JESUS G. BERNAL, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE MAYNOR GALVEZ Not Reported Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s): None Present None Present Proceedings: Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution (IN

CHAMBERS)

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) (“Rule 41(b)”), a district court may dismiss an action for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or failure to comply with the court’s orders. See Rule 41(b); see *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991) (recognizing that a court “may act sua sponte to dismiss a suit for failure to prosecute”). Here, it appears that plaintiff has abandoned her suit. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff to show cause in writing on or before March 10, 2023, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Failure to comply with this deadline will result in the Court dismissing this case for lack of prosecution. See Rule 41(b). Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by the plaintiff is due. IT IS SO ORDERED. Page 1 of 1 CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL Initials of Deputy Clerk
mg