

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, SECOND DISTRICT

D.D.R. v. State of Florida

D.D.R. · No. 2D2024-2536 · Decided March 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The Florida Second District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded a juvenile disposition order revoking D.D.R.'s probation and adjudicating her delinquent for misdemeanor battery. The court adopted the reasoning of a related decision holding that the delinquency court improperly based its probation-violation determination solely on hearsay.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Second District
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; VILLANTI; MORRIS; ROTHSTEIN-YOUAKIM
JURISDICTION	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Second District
DECIDED	March 13, 2026
DOCKET	2D2024-2536
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	D.D.R. appealed a juvenile disposition order revoking probation and adjudicating her delinquent for misdemeanor battery after a consolidated revocation hearing involving six cases.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published opinion; subject to revision prior to official publication.
PARTIES	D.D.R. v. State of Florida

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- evidence
- hearsay
- probation

PRACTICE AREAS

- juvenile delinquency
- criminal procedure
- evidence
- probation revocation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the juvenile court erred in revoking D.D.R.'s probation and entering a delinquency disposition order when the determination that she violated probation was based solely on hearsay.

HOLDINGS

1. A probation revocation determination in this case could not stand when it was based solely on hearsay; the disposition order must be vacated.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“We adopt the reasoning in that opinion and accordingly reverse and remand for vacatur of the disposition order in the case underlying this appeal.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

D.D.R. was subject to probation in juvenile delinquency matters. The delinquency court conducted a consolidated revocation hearing concerning six cases and entered similar orders, including an order revoking D.D.R.'s probation and adjudicating her delinquent for misdemeanor battery. The appellate court noted that the revocation determination was based solely on hearsay, as explained in its recently issued opinion involving one of the other orders.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Circuit Court for Pasco County entered a disposition order revoking D.D.R.'s probation and adjudicating her delinquent. The District Court of Appeal relied on its recently issued opinion in another appeal arising from the same consolidated hearing and reversed and remanded for vacatur of the disposition order.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Reverse and remand for vacatur of the disposition order and proceedings consistent with the opinion.

CASES CITED

- D.D.R. v. State, 51 Fla. L. Weekly D226 (Fla. 2d DCA Feb. 6, 2026)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA SECOND DISTRICT D.D.R., Appellant, v. STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellee. No. 2D2024-2536 March 13, 2026 Appeal from the Circuit Court for Pasco County; James R. Stearns, Judge. Blair Allen, Public Defender, and Tosha Cohen, Assistant Public Defender, Bartow, for Appellant. James Uthmeier, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Natalia Reyna- Pimiento, Assistant Attorney General, Tampa, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM. D.D.R. appeals the juvenile disposition order revoking probation and adjudicating her delinquent on the charge of misdemeanor battery. The delinquency court entered the order in

conjunction with similar orders that it entered in five other cases charging D.D.R. with delinquent acts after it conducted a consolidated revocation hearing for all six cases.

On appeal of one of those other orders, this court recently issued an opinion reversing revocation of D.D.R.'s probation because the delinquency court's determination that she had violated her probation was based solely on hearsay. *D.D.R. v. State*, 51 Fla. L. Weekly D226 (Fla. 2d DCA Feb. 6, 2026).

We adopt the reasoning in that opinion and accordingly reverse and remand for vacatur of the disposition order in the case underlying this appeal. Reversed and remanded for proceedings consistent with this opinion. VILLANTI, MORRIS, and ROTHSTEIN-YOUAKIM, JJ., Concur. Opinion subject to revision prior to official publication. 2