

FOURTH COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO

In re SuEllen Hoeflinger-Hotchkiss

No. 04-19-00872-CV (Tex. App.—San Antonio Jan. 3, 2020) · No. No. 04-19-00872-CV · Decided January 3, 2020

SUMMARY

The Fourth Court of Appeals of Texas considered an original mandamus proceeding arising from a Bexar County probate matter. The court ordered the respondent and real parties in interest to respond to the mandamus petition by January 21, 2020, but denied the relator's request to stay the trial court proceedings.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Fourth Court of Appeals of Texas at San Antonio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; Sandee Bryan Marion, Chief Justice; Irene Rios, Justice; Liza A. Rodriguez, Justice
JURISDICTION	Texas
DECIDED	January 3, 2020
DOCKET	No. 04-19-00872-CV
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original mandamus proceeding in which the relator requested a stay of trial-court proceedings pending final resolution of the mandamus petition.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published per curiam order; the order text does not announce a substantive holding on the mandamus merits.
PARTIES	SuEllen Hoeflinger-Hotchkiss, relator v. Respondent, Real parties in interest

TOPICS

[appellate procedure](#) [probate procedure](#) [probate](#) [civil procedure](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[Appellate procedure](#) [Probate](#) [Civil procedure](#) [Extraordinary writs](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the relator was entitled to a stay of trial-court proceedings pending final resolution of the mandamus petition.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“This court believes a serious question concerning the mandamus relief sought requires further consideration.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The proceeding arose from a probate case styled In the Estate of Gregory Lyle Hotchkiss a/k/a Gregory L. Hotchkiss, Deceased. The relator sought mandamus relief and a stay of trial-court proceedings while the appellate court considered the mandamus petition. The court found that the mandamus request presented a serious question but denied the stay.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 17, 2019, the relator filed a petition for writ of mandamus and requested a stay of proceedings in the probate court. The court of appeals concluded that a serious question concerning the requested mandamus relief required further consideration, ordered the respondent and real parties in interest to file responses by January 21, 2020, and denied the requested stay.

DISPOSITION

other