

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Randy Dewayne Pittman v. Sitemetric, LLC

Pittman · No. 25-cv-07707-PCP · Decided January 23, 2026

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
RANDY DEWAYNE PITTMAN, Case No. 25-cv-07707-PCP 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING
CASE v. 9 10 SITEMETRIC, LLC., Defendant. 11 12 Pro se plaintiff Randy Dewayne Pittman
filed this action against his former employer 13 Sitemetric, LLC, alleging that Sitemetric
terminated him in retaliation for on-the-job speech.

Mr. 14 Pittman claims that his termination violated the First Amendment; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and
1985(3); 15 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a); and the National
Labor 16 Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 157. He also asserts a state common-law claim for wrongful
17 termination in violation of public policy. Concurrent with his complaint, Mr. Pittman filed an
18 application to proceed in forma pauperis, i.e., without paying the otherwise mandatory filing
fee to 19 initiate a lawsuit.

The Court previously granted that application and, pursuant to 28 U.S.C 20 § 1915(e)(2), screened
Mr. Pittman's complaint. See Dkt. No. 7. As the Court explained in that 21 order, Mr. Pittman's
complaint fails to state a claim for relief under any of the federal 22 constitutional and statutory
provisions he invokes, and his state common-law claim rises and falls 23 with his federal claims.

The Court therefore dismissed the case with leave to amend. The Court 24 instructed Mr. Pittman
that, if he failed to file an amended complaint by December 22, 2026, the 25 Court would dismiss
his case with prejudice. 26 Though the Court granted Mr. Pittman leave to amend, Mr. Pittman has
not filed an 27 amended complaint.

For the reasons set forth in the Court's previous order, the Court therefore 1 dismisses the case
with prejudice for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1915(e)(2). 2 See *Cato v. United*
States, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995). 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4 || Dated: January 23, 2026 P.
Casey Pitts 7 United States District Judge 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 = 17 Z 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
26 27 28