

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

**Errol Joseph Scorza, IV v. Office of the Attorney General for the
State of California, et al.**

Scorza · No. 2:24-cv-11221-PA-SSC · Decided June 2, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California accepted the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendations without objections. The court ordered judgment dismissing the action without prejudice to refiling if authorized by the Ninth Circuit and denied a certificate of appealability.

OPINION

Errol Joseph Scorza v. Attorney Office of the Attorney General for the State of California
PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 9 10 ERROL JOSEPH SCORZA, IV, Case No. 2:24-cv-11221-PA-SSC 11 Petitioner,

ORDER ACCEPTING

12 v. FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,

AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF

13 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES

14 GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND

DENYING CERTIFICATE OF

15 CALIFORNIA, et al.,

APPEALABILITY

16 Respondents. 17

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 the Court has reviewed the petition, 19 all of the records herein,
and the Report and Recommendation of United 20 States Magistrate Judge to which no objections
were filed. The Court 21 accepts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge in the 22
Report and Recommendation. 23 IT IS ORDERED that judgment be entered dismissing this
action 24 without prejudice to refiling if authorized by the Ninth Circuit. 25 Further, the Court
finds that Petitioner has not made a 26 substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right or

that the 27 1 | appealability will not issue in this action. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); 2 | Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2008); 3 | Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). ht C4 5 | DATED: June 2, 2025

6 PERCY ANDERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28