

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

R.O. Brooks Freshwadda, et al. v. Adriana Rincon White, et al.

Freshwadda · No. 2:25-cv-01624-CDS-NJK · Decided November 25, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada denied Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis without prejudice because it was incomplete and contained inconsistent financial information. The Court ordered Plaintiff to file a complete long-form application or pay the \$405 filing fee by December 9, 2025, and warned that failure to comply could result in a recommendation of dismissal.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Nancy J. Koppe
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
DECIDED	November 25, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01624-CDS-NJK
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The court considered plaintiffs' application to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset of the civil action.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure in forma pauperis applications

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether plaintiff's incomplete and internally inconsistent application to proceed in forma pauperis should be granted.

HOLDINGS

1. The application to proceed in forma pauperis was properly denied without prejudice because it was incomplete and presented an internally inconsistent financial picture.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE CASE BE DISMISSED.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff's in forma pauperis application answered "N/A" to questions 5 and 6. The application also stated that plaintiff was 100% responsible for supporting his son but listed no regular monthly expenses. The court further noted that plaintiff's address appeared to be a UPS Store mailbox, without any disclosed monthly expense for that mailbox.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The district court found the application incomplete and internally inconsistent, denied it without prejudice, and allowed plaintiff until December 9, 2025, either to submit a complete long-form application or pay the \$405 filing fee.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- United States v. Perea-Rey, 680 F.3d 1179, 1182 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 R.O. BROOKS
FRESHWADDA, et al., Case No. 2:25-cv-01624-CDS-NJK 8 Plaintiffs, Order 9 v. [Docket No. 1]
10 ADRIANA RINCON WHITE, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff ‘s
application to proceed in forma pauperis. Docket 13 No. 1. 14 Plaintiff’s application is incomplete.
See id. Specifically, Plaintiff responded “N/A” as to 15 questions 5 and 6.

See id. at 3. Moreover, the financial situation portrayed in the application is a 16 logical
impossibility, as the application indicates that Plaintiff is “100%” responsible for the 17 support of
his son, but the application does not identify any regular monthly expenses.1 Id. 18 Accordingly,
Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED without 19 prejudice.

Docket No. 1. No later than December 9, 2025, Plaintiff must either (1) file a fully 20 complete
application to proceed in forma pauperis, on the correct form with complete financial 21
information; or (2) pay the \$405 fee for filing a civil action. Any renewed application must be 22
submitted on the long form and must be filled out completely. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 23

THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE CASE BE 24
DISMISSED.

25 26 1 The Court also notes that Plaintiff's address provided in the papers appears to be a UPS 27
Store mailbox. Cf. *United States v. Perea-Rey*, 680 F.3d 1179, 1182 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012) (courts may
take judicial notice of Google maps and related images). UPS Store mailboxes are generally 28 not
free, yet Plaintiff fails to disclose any monthly expense relating to this mailbox.] The Clerk's
Office is INSTRUCTED to send Plaintiff a copy of the long form in forma 2|| pauperis application
for non-prisoners.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4 Dated: November 25, 2025 he. Nancy J. Koppe 6 United States agistrate
Judge 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28