

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Raouf v. Unknown

Raouf · No. 2:25-cv-1724 · Decided August 6, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Raouf v. Unknown

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 JOSEPH RAOUF, No. 2:25-cv-1724 CKD P 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND

14 UNKNOWN, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

15 Defendant. 16

17 On June 24, 2025, plaintiff was ordered to file a complaint. Plaintiff was also ordered to 18
either pay the filing fee or submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff was 19
granted 30 days to do so. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded
20 to the court's June 24, 2025 order. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk
of the Court assign a district 22 court judge to this case. 23 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED
that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See 24 Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 25
These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 26
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 27
after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
28 with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings
1 | and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 2
| time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 3 ||
(9th Cir. 1991). 4 | Dated: August 5, 2025 Card ke yy a

5 CAROLYN K DELANEY?

6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 8 9} 1 10 raoul 724 .fta 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28