

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

In re Larissa Baskin

No. 03-25-00984-CV · No. No. 03-25-00984-CV · Decided December 17, 2025

OPINION

In Re Larissa Baskin v. the State of Texas

PER CURIAM.

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-25-00984-CV

In re Larissa Baskin

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FROM TRAVIS COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator Larissa Baskin has filed a petition for writ of mandamus asking this Court to order a justice of the peace to “[p]roperly consider or enforce the Subpoena Duces Tecum.” Baskin’s petition indicates that she is a party to a proceeding before the justice of the peace for Travis County Precinct One. This Court lacks jurisdiction to provide the relief requested. This Court is authorized by statute to issue a writ of mandamus against “a judge of a district, statutory county, statutory probate county, or county court in the court of appeals district” and other writs as necessary to enforce our appellate jurisdiction. See Tex. Gov’t Code Ann. § 22.221(b). We may also issue writs of mandamus against a judge of a district court who is acting as a magistrate at a court of inquiry or an associate judge of a district or county court under circumstances not presented in this petition. See *id.* § 22.221(c). Because relator seeks a writ against a justice of the peace and the writ is not necessary to enforce our appellate jurisdiction, we lack jurisdiction over relator’s petition for writ of mandamus. See *Easton v. Franks*, 842 S.W.2d 772, 773 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1992, orig. proceeding); see also *In re Ochoa*, No. 04–10–00914–CV, 2011 WL 192691, at *1 (Tex. App.—San Antonio Jan. 12, 2011, orig. proceeding). We dismiss this petition for writ of mandamus for want of jurisdiction.

Darlene Byrne, Chief Justice Before Chief Justice Byrne, Justices Crump and Ellis Filed: December 17, 2025 2