

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Leila Marie Cruz v. Julia Ramzi, et al.

Cruz v. Ramzi · No. 2:25-cv-00985-TLN-JDP · Decided September 29, 2025

OPINION

Ramzi

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 LEILA MARIE CRUZ, Case No. 2:25-cv-00985-TLN-JDP 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER 14
JULIA RAMZI, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 This matter was referred to a United States
Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 18 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On August 22,
2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and 19 recommendations herein which were served on
the parties and which contained notice that any 20 objections to the findings and recommendations
were to be filed within fourteen days. No 21 objections were filed. 22 The court presumes that any
findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 23 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The
magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 24 See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d
1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 25 magistrate judge are reviewed de
novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). 26 Having reviewed the file, the
Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by 27 the record and by the proper
analysis. 28 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The proposed findings and
recommendations filed August 22, 2025 (ECF No. 4) are 3 ADOPTED; and 4 2. This action is
dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See E.D. L.R. 5 183(b). 6 IT IS SO
ORDERED. 7 Date: September 26, 2025 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
27 28