

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA, GREENVILLE DIVISION**

Torrance Deon Batts v. Alan Wilson, Attorney General

Batts · No. 6:25-cv-13660-JDA · Decided January 5, 2026

OPINION

Batts

PER CURIAM.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION

Torrance Deon Batts,) Case No. 6:25-cv-13660-JDA) Plaintiff,))

v.) OPINION AND ORDER

) Alan Wilson, Attorney General,)) Defendant.) This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Complaint and a Report and Recommendation ("Report") of the Magistrate Judge. [Docs. 1; 9.] In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate William S. Brown for pre-trial proceedings. Plaintiff's pro se Complaint was docketed on December 1, 2025. [Doc. 1.] On December 2, 2025, the Magistrate Judge issued the Report recommending that the matter be dismissed without issuance and service of process and without leave to amend. [Doc. 9.] The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. [Id. at 17.] Plaintiff has not filed objections and the time to do so has lapsed. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71

(1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (internal quotation marks omitted)). The Court has reviewed the record in this case, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Having done so, the Court accepts the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and incorporates it by

reference. Accordingly, this action is dismissed without issuance and service of process, without leave to amend, and without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. s/ Jacquelyn D. Austin United States District Judge January 5, 2026 Greenville, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.