

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Jermel Arcilicia Taylor v. Karin Immergut

Taylor · No. 2:25-cv-01685-HL · Decided December 10, 2025

OPINION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON JERMEL ARCILICIA TAYLOR, Case No. 2:25-cv-01685-HL Plaintiff, ORDER v. KARIN IMMERGUT, Defendant. BAGGIO, District Judge: Magistrate Judge Hallman issued a Findings and Recommendation on November 17, 2025, in which he recommends that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice. F&R, ECF No. 14.

The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record de novo. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made).

Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, the Court finds no error. CONCLUSION The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Hallman's Findings and Recommendation [14]. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Complaint [1] is dismissed without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 10th day of December, 2025. wn 4 United States District Judge 2 — ORDER