

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Niyaa Buncch, et al. v. Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority,
et al.

Buncch · No. 2:25-cv-00660-GMN-BNW · Decided January 9, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Nevada grants Plaintiffs’ request to have the U.S. Marshals Service re-serve the Office of the Ex-Officio Constable. The Court directs the Clerk to issue and transmit the summons and related documents, requires Plaintiff to submit Form USM-285 by February 2, 2026, and directs the Marshal to attempt service under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Brenda Weksler
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
DECIDED	January 9, 2026
DOCKET	2:25-cv-00660-GMN-BNW
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiffs proceeding in forma pauperis moved for an order directing the United States Marshals Service to re-serve Defendant Office of the Ex-Officio Constable at an updated address.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished and nonprecedential district court order
PARTIES	Niyaa Buncch, et al. v. Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority, et al.

TOPICS

- service of process
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to the Court's assistance in issuing and effecting service, including re-service at an updated address.

HOLDINGS

1. A plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to the Court's aid in serving process, including service by the U.S. Marshal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“a party proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to have the summons and complaint served by the U.S. Marshal.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs were proceeding in forma pauperis. The Court had previously directed the U.S. Marshals Service to serve the Office of the Ex-Officio Constable, but Plaintiff later obtained and confirmed an updated address for that defendant. Plaintiff therefore requested that the Court direct the Marshal to re-serve the defendant.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Court had previously directed the United States Marshals Service to serve the Office of the Ex-Officio Constable. After obtaining and confirming an updated address, Plaintiff requested re-service. The Court granted the request and directed the Clerk and the U.S. Marshal to issue and attempt service.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Puett v. Blandford, 912 F.2d 270, 273 (9th Cir. 1990)