

FLORIDA DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST DISTRICT

**Alachua County Board of County Commissioners and Alachua
County Supervisor of Elections v. Keith Perry, Kimberly Hord, Jose
Lopez, and Sharla Head**

No. 1D2024-2604 · No. 1D2024-2604 · Decided October 15, 2025

SUMMARY

The Florida First District Court of Appeal reversed an order declaring unlawful a 2024 Alachua County referendum proposing a return to at-large county commission elections. The court held that Florida Statutes section 124.011(10) governs a return from single-member districts to at-large voting and does not require use of the ballot language specified in section 124.011(9)(a).

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF FLORIDA
No. 1D2024-2604

ALACHUA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS and ALACHUA COUNTY
SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS, Appellants, v. KEITH PERRY, KIMBERLY HORD, JOSE
LOPEZ, and SHARLA HEAD, Appellees. On appeal from
the Circuit Court for Alachua County.

Olin Shinholser, Judge. October 15, 2025 PER CURIAM. Appellants, the Alachua County Board of County Commissioners and the Alachua County Supervisor of Elections, appeal a final order in an action where Appellees, four residents of Alachua County (“County”), asked the trial court to declare unlawful a referendum proposing to amend the Alachua County Charter to return to an at-large voting system for county commissioners from a single-member voting system because the ballot language did not comply with section 124.011, Florida Statutes.

Appellants raise two issues on appeal, only one of which merits discussion. They argue, and we agree, that the trial court erred in declaring that the referendum violated section 124.011. For the reasons that follow, we reverse the order. Factual Background In 2022, Alachua County voters approved a change from an at-large voting system for county commissioners to voting for members using a single-member district system.

According to testimony presented below, at-large means that “everybody in the entire county votes for every county commissioner,” whereas in a single-member system, “only the people in

your district can vote for you.” * This case involves an ordinance of the Alachua County Board of County Commissioners (Ordinance 2024-12), which included a proposed amendment to the Alachua County Charter pertaining to members of the Board being elected on a countywide basis and which provided in part: SECTION 3.

Referendum. a. The proposed amendment to the Alachua County Charter in Section 2 of this ordinance shall be presented to the County electorate on the ballot at the general election to be held on November 5, 2024. b. The Supervisor of Elections of Alachua County shall cause the following question to be placed on the ballot at the general election to be held on November 5, 2024. * The impetus for the change was House Bill 1493, which called for a referendum election on November 8, 2022, with the following referendum question: “Shall the five members of the board of county commissioners of Alachua County, Florida, be elected to office from single-member districts by electors residing in each of those districts only?” If the question was answered affirmatively by a majority of the qualified electors, which it was, then the charter amendment was to take effect, which it did.

2 AMENDING THE COUNTY CHARTER TO PROVIDE FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONER ELECTIONS ON AN AT LARGE BASIS Shall the five members of the board of county commissioners of Alachua County, Florida, be elected by all electors within the county at large? ____ YES ____ NO SECTION 4. Effective Date of Charter Amendment. This amendment to the Alachua County Charter adopted... shall be effective on January 1, 2025, only if approved by a majority of the electors voting in the general election to be held on November 5, 2024.

The amendment, if approved, was to change section 2.2 of the Alachua County Home Rule Charter from providing that “[t]here shall be one (1) commissioner for each of five (5) county commission districts established pursuant to general law and they shall be elected by the qualified electors of that district” to the commissioners being elected “on a countywide basis by the electors of the county.” In September 2024, Appellees filed an Emergency Petition for Temporary Injunction to Enjoin Ballot Measure Slated for November 6, 2024, General Election.

Appellees sought in part an immediate temporary injunction enjoining Appellants from placing the proposed measure on the ballot as well as a declaration that the proposed ballot language did not comply with section 124.011(9)(a), Florida Statutes.

In the order under review, the trial court set forth in part: Florida Statute 124.011(10) allows: “Any county adopting one of the propositions set forth in this section may thereafter return to the procedures otherwise provided by law by following the same procedure outlined in subsection (3).” 3 Thus, the commission could again place an issue before the voters regarding voting by single member districts versus at large districts.

Whether the commissioners have acted in good faith by placing the issue before the voters again in such a short period of time is a political question, not a legal one upon which the court can act. However, the commissioners have violated the procedure outlined in Florida Statute 124.011. If the commissioners want the voters to again lawfully consider the issue, they must use the language used in 2022 and specifically found [in] Florida Statute 124.011(9)(a): [] Shall the five members of the board of county commissioners of Alachua County, Florida, be elected to office from single-member districts by electors residing in each of those districts only? _____ Yes _____ No Because the language in the current proposed referendum does not comply with Florida law, any resulting vote will be a legal nullity and not the basis for changing the voting method, if the result is a vote for at large voting.

Hypothetically the vote, if the No Vote prevails, would render the question moot. The trial court denied Appellees' request for a temporary injunction, but it ruled that the amendment "addressing at large elections that is on the November 5, 2024 ballot is declared to be unlawful in violation of Florida Statute 124.011 in that its ballot language fails to comply with the statute." Alachua County voters subsequently approved the referendum.

This appeal followed. Analysis Statutory construction is a question of law. *State v. Rogers*, 391 So. 3d 661, 666 (Fla. 1st DCA 2024). To ascertain legislative intent, a court must first look to the plain and obvious meaning of the statute's text. *Id.* at 666–67. If the language is clear and unambiguous and conveys a clear and definite meaning, a court should apply the unequivocal meaning and not resort to the rules of statutory construction.

Id. at 667; see also *Ham v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC*, 308 So. 3d 942, 946 (Fla. 2020) (following the "supremacy-of-text" principle, which provides that the words of a governing text are of paramount concern and what the words convey is what the text means). Courts are not at liberty to extend, modify, or limit a statute's express terms. *Univ. of Fla. Bd. of Trs. v. Browning*, 387 So.

3d 371, 376–77 (Fla. 1st DCA 2024). Section 124.01, Florida Statutes (2024), entitled "Division of counties into districts; county commissioners," provides in part: (1) There shall be five county commissioners' districts in each county, which shall be numbered one to five, inclusive, and shall be as nearly equal in proportion to population as possible.

(2) There shall be one county commissioner for each of such county commissioners' districts, who shall be elected by the qualified electors of the county, as provided by s. 1(e), Art. VIII of the State Constitution.

As the parties acknowledge, section 124.01 makes clear that the default method of voting for county commissioners in Florida is an at-large system. Section 124.011, Florida Statutes (2024), entitled "Alternative procedure for the election of county commissioners to provide for single-

member representation; applicability,” provides in part as follows: (1) County commissioners shall be nominated and elected to office in accordance with the provisions of s. 124.01, or as otherwise provided by law, unless a proposition calling for single-member representation within the county commission districts is submitted to and approved by a majority of the qualified electors voting on such proposition in the manner provided in this section.

Such proposition shall provide that: 5 (a) Five county commissioners shall reside one in each of five county commission districts, the districts together covering the entire county and as nearly equal in population as practicable; and each commissioner shall be nominated and elected only by the qualified electors who reside in the same county commission district as the commissioner; or (b) The board of county commissioners shall be increased from five commissioners to seven commissioners, with five of the seven commissioners residing one in each of five county commission districts, the districts together covering the entire county and as nearly equal in population as practicable, and each commissioner being nominated and elected only by the qualified electors who reside in the same county commission district as the commissioner, and with two of the seven commissioners being nominated and elected at large.

(2)(a) All commissioners shall be elected for 4-year terms.... (3) A proposition calling for single-member representation within the county commission districts of the county shall be submitted to the electors of the county at any primary, general, or otherwise-called special election, in either manner following: (a) The board of county commissioners may adopt a formal resolution directing an election to be held to place the proposition on the ballot. (b) The electors of the county may petition to have the proposition placed on the ballot by presenting to the board of county commissioners petitions signed by not less than 10 percent of the duly qualified electors of the county.

The number of signatures required shall be determined by the 6 supervisor of elections according to the number of registered electors in the county as of the date the petitioning electors register as a political committee pursuant to subsection (4).

(4) The electors petitioning to have the proposition placed on the ballot shall register as a political committee pursuant to s. 106.03, and a specific person shall be designated therein as chair of the committee to act for the committee.

(5) Each petition form circulated for single-member county commissioner representation within the county shall include space for the printed name, signature, and address of the elector and shall include the wording set forth in paragraph (a) or paragraph (b): (a) “As a registered elector of _____ County, Florida, I am petitioning for a referendum election to determine whether the five county commissioners of said county shall be elected from single-member districts by electors residing in each of those districts only.” (6) Upon the filing of the petitions with the board

of county commissioners by the chair of the committee, the board of county commissioners shall submit the petitions to the supervisor of elections for verification of the signatures....

(7) If it is determined that the petitions have the required signatures, the supervisor of elections shall certify the petitions to the board of county commissioners, which shall adopt a resolution requesting that an election date be set to conform to the earliest primary, general, or otherwise-called special election that occurs not less than 30 days after certification of the petitions....

7 (8) No special election may be called for the sole purpose of presenting the proposition to the vote of the electors. (9)(a) In a county in which the board of county commissioners is composed of five members, each to be elected from single-member districts, the wording of the proposition on the ballot shall be as follows: Shall the five members of the board of county commissioners of _____ County, Florida, be elected to office from single-member districts by electors residing in each of those districts only? ____ Yes ____ No (b) In a county in which the board of county commissioners is to be increased from five to seven members, with two of the seven members to be elected at large, the wording on the ballot shall be as follows: Shall the board of county commissioners of _____ County, Florida, be increased from five to seven members, with five of the seven members to be elected to office from single-member districts by electors residing in each of those districts only, and with the two remaining members being elected by all electors within the county at large? ____ Yes ____ No (10) Any county adopting one of the propositions set forth in this section may thereafter return to the procedures otherwise provided by law by following the same procedure outlined in subsection (3).

8 (11) No county commissioner elected prior to or at the election which approves any revision as permitted in this section shall be affected in his or her term of office. The resolution adopted by the board of county commissioners under paragraph (3)(a) or subsection (7) which presents the proposed revision to the electorate for approval shall specify an orderly method and procedure for implementing the revision contemplated in the resolution.

(Emphasis added). The trial court determined that the County violated the procedure outlined in section 124.011 and that “[i]f the commissioners want the voters to again lawfully consider the issue, they must use the language used in 2022 and specifically found [in] Florida Statute 124.011(9)(a).” This interpretation of the statute was erroneous. Section 124.011 allows for the alternative of single-member districts and includes the procedures and parameters of implementing that alternative system.

The referendum at issue in this case addressed the potential return to at-large voting from a single-member system. Given such, section 124.011(10), which is the only provision in the statute that contemplates a reversion back to an at-large system of voting, was applicable here.

Because subsection (3) is the only provision that subsection (10) references, the procedure outlined in subsection (3) must be followed in order to return to that system. Subsection (3) says nothing about how the proposal to return to at-large voting must be worded. It certainly does not say that the language contained in subsection (9) must be included. Subsection (9) clearly applies when a referendum is proposing a switch from at-large voting to single-member districts, not the other way around.

Had the Legislature wished to include specific language that must be included on the ballot for a return to at-large voting in section 124.011 or elsewhere, it could have done so. Because it did not, the trial court erred in declaring that the 2024 ballot language violated section 124.011(9).

Accordingly, we REVERSE the order on appeal. 9 LEWIS and RAY, JJ., concur; and TANENBAUM, J., concurs in result only. _____ Not final until disposition of any timely and authorized motion under Fla. R. App. P. 9.330 or 9.331.

_____ Peter D. Webster of Carlton Fields, Tallahassee; Sylvia E. Torres, County Attorney, Robert C. Swain, Deputy County Attorney, Alachua County Board of County Commissioners, Gainesville; Corbin Hanson, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Alachua County Supervisor of Elections, Gainesville, for Appellants.

Seldon J. Childers of Childers Law, LLC, Gainesville; William D. Palmer and Shannon McLin of Florida Appeals, Orlando; Benjamin J. Gibson, Amber S. Nunnally, and Kassandra S. Reardon of Shutts & Bowen LLP, Tallahassee, for Amicus Curiae Leading For Our Future Political Committee, for Appellees. 10