

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
GEORGIA, COLUMBUS DIVISION

C.A.P. v. Warden, Stewart Detention Center, et al.

C.A.P. · No. 4:25-cv-496-CDL-AGH · Decided December 30, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia grants habeas relief to the extent that Respondents must provide Petitioner with a bond hearing under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). The Court finds that Petitioner is detained under § 1226(a), is not subject to mandatory detention, and is not a member of the bond-eligible class certified in Maldonado Bautista because Petitioner was apprehended upon arrival.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Columbus Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Clay D. Land
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Columbus Division
DECIDED	December 30, 2025
DOCKET	4:25-cv-496-CDL-AGH
DISPOSITION	writ_granted
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioner sought federal habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, including a bond hearing concerning immigration detention.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown
PARTIES	C.A.P. v. Warden, Stewart Detention Center, et al.

TOPICS

- immigration detention
- federal habeas corpus
- immigration
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

- immigration detention
- federal habeas corpus
- immigration
- remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether petitioner was a member of the Bond Eligible Class certified in *Maldonado Bautista v. Santacruz*.
2. Whether petitioner was detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and was therefore entitled to a bond hearing.
3. Whether habeas relief should require respondents to provide petitioner with a bond hearing to determine eligibility for release on bond.

HOLDINGS

1. Petitioner was not a member of the certified Bond Eligible Class because petitioner was apprehended upon arrival in the United States, while the certified class was limited to noncitizens who were not or would not be apprehended upon arrival.
2. Petitioner was detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a) and was not subject to mandatory detention.
3. Petitioner's habeas motion was granted to the extent that respondents were required to provide a bond hearing to determine whether petitioner could be released on bond under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a)(2) and the applicable regulations.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Accordingly, Petitioner’s motion for habeas corpus relief is granted to the extent that Respondents shall provide Petitioner with a bond hearing to determine if Petitioner may be released on bond under § 1226(a)(2) and the applicable regulations.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Petitioner was apprehended upon arrival in the United States and was detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). The court found that petitioner was not within the Bond Eligible Class certified in *Maldonado Bautista* because that class excluded noncitizens apprehended upon arrival. The court also found that petitioner was not subject to mandatory detention.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

C.A.P. filed a § 2241 habeas petition challenging the basis for continued immigration detention. The district court determined that petitioner was detained under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a), was not subject to mandatory detention, and granted relief to the extent respondents were required to provide a bond hearing.

DISPOSITION

writ_granted

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Respondents shall provide petitioner with a bond hearing to determine whether petitioner may be released on bond under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a)(2) and the applicable regulations.

CASES CITED

- Maldonado Bautista v. Santacruz, No. 5:25-CV-01873-SSS-BFM, 2025 WL 3288403 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2025)
- J.A.M. v. Streeval, No. 4:25-CV-342-CDL, 2025 WL 3050094 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 1, 2025)
- P.R.S. v. Streeval, No. 4:25-CV-330-CDL, 2025 WL 3269947 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 24, 2025)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-middle-district-of-geor/2025/c-a-p-v-warden-stewart-detention-center-et-al-u32utn39>