

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
WASHINGTON**

In re: Fortive Data Security Litigation

No. 2:24-CV-01668-RAJ (W.D. Wash. Jan. 22, 2026) · No. 2:24-CV-01668-RAJ · Decided January 22, 2026

OPINION

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 IN RE: FORTIVE DATA Case No.
2:24-CV-01668-RAJ 10 SECURITY LITIGATION ORDER GRANTING FINAL 11 THIS
DOCUMENT RELATES TO: APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION 12 SETTLEMENT All Actions
13 14 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Final 17
Approval of the Class Action Settlement (the “Final Approval Motion,” Dkt. # 45), and 18 the
Memorandum in support thereof (Dkt. # 46).

Also before the Court is Class Counsel’s 19 Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Service
Awards (the “Fees Motion,” Dkt. # 43), 20 21 and the Declaration of Lynn A. Toops in support
thereof (Dkt. # 44). Having reviewed 22 and considered the Settlement Agreement (Dkt. # 39-1),
the Final Approval Motion, the 23 Fees Motion, and all supporting papers, and having conducted a
Final Approval Hearing 24 on January 9, 2026 (see Dkt. # 47), the Court makes the following
findings and grants the 25 26 relief set forth below approving the Settlement upon the terms and
conditions set forth in this Final Order and Judgment.

1 2 IT IS ORDERED that: 3 1. The Settlement does not constitute an admission of liability by
Defendants, 4 and the Court expressly does not make any finding of liability or wrongdoing by 5
Defendants. 6 7 2. The Court, for the purposes of this Final Order and Judgment, adopts the 8
defined terms as forth in the Settlement Agreement for any term not otherwise defined 9 herein.

10 3. On August 21, 2025, the Court entered an order, which among other things: 11 12 (a)
approved the Notice to the Settlement Class, including approval of the form and 13 manner of
notice under the Notice Program set forth in the Settlement Agreement; (b) 14 provisionally
certified a Settlement Class in this matter for settlement purposes only, 15 including defining the
Settlement Class, appointed Plaintiffs as the Class Representatives, 16 17 and appointed
Settlement Class Counsel; (c) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (d); 18 set deadlines for opt-
outs and objections; (e) approved and appointed the Settlement 19 Administrator; and (f) set the
date for the Final Approval Hearing.

20 4. In the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, 21 22 pursuant to
Rule 23 and for settlement purposes only, the Court certified the Settlement 23 Class, defined as

follows: 24 All individuals whose personal information may have been compromised 25 as a result of the Data Incident, as identified on the Class List. 26 5.

The Settlement Class specifically excludes: the Judge assigned to evaluate 1 2 the fairness of this Agreement, and any other person found by a court of competent 3 jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the 4 criminal activity occurrence of the Data Incident or who pleads nolo contendere to any 5 such charge.

6 7 6. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement 8 submitted by the parties pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 9 grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement and defines the Settlement Class as 10 defined therein and in the Preliminary Approval Order, and finds that the settlement is 11 12 fair, reasonable, and adequate and meets the requirements of Rule 23, and that the Notice 13 Program afforded appropriate due process, with a reach rate over 93%.

14 7. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate 15 and are hereby finally approved, adopted, and incorporated by the Court. The Parties, 16 17 their respective attorneys, and the Settlement Administrator are hereby directed to 18 consummate the Settlement in accordance with this Final Order and Judgment and the 19 terms of the Settlement Agreement.

20 8. Notice of the Final Approval Hearing and Plaintiffs' Fees Motion have been 21 22 provided to Class Members as directed by this Court's Orders, and a declaration of the 23 Settlement Administrator's compliance with the Notice Program has been filed with the 24 Court. 25 26 9.

The Court finds that such Notice as therein ordered, constitutes the best 1 2 possible notice practicable under the circumstances and constitutes valid, due, and 3 sufficient notice to all Class Members in compliance with the requirements of Rule 23. 4 The Court finds that the notice program was reasonably calculated to, and did, provide 5 due and sufficient notice to the Class Members of the pendency of the Action, certification 6 7 of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the 8 Settlement Agreement, and their rights to object to and appear at the Final Approval 9 Hearing or to exclude themselves from the Settlement, and satisfied the requirements of 10 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other 11 12 applicable law.

13 10. The Court readopts and incorporates by reference its preliminary 14 conclusions as to the satisfaction of Rule 23 as set forth in the Preliminary Approval 15 Order. The Court notes that because this certification of the Settlement Class is in 16 17 connection with the Settlement Agreement rather than litigation, the Court need not 18 address any issues of manageability that may be presented by certification of the 19 Settlement Class in the Settlement Agreement.

20 11. As of the final date of the Opt-Out Deadline, November 21, 2025, five (5) 21 22 Class Members have submitted timely and valid requests for exclusion from the 23 Settlement Class,

attached to the Declaration of Kroll Settlement Administration and 24 incorporated herein. Those persons are not bound by this Final Order and Judgment, as 25 set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

26 12. The Court has considered all the documents filed in support of the 1 2 Settlement, and has fully considered all matters raised, all exhibits and affidavits filed, all 3 evidence received at the Final Approval Hearing, all other papers and documents 4 comprising the record herein, and all oral arguments presented to the Court. 5 13. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Settlement Class Counsel, 6 7 Defendants' Counsel, and the Settlement Administrator shall implement the Settlement in 8 the manner and time frame as set forth therein.

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the 9 Class Representatives and the Class Members and everyone else encompassed by the term 10 "Releasing Parties" are deemed to have completely and unconditionally released, forever 11 12 discharged, and acquitted the Releasees from all Released Claims, including unknown 13 claims, as set forth and defined in the Settlement Agreement.

The Class Representatives 14 and the Class Members are further barred and permanently enjoined from asserting, 15 instituting, or prosecuting, either directly or indirectly, the Released Claims. The full 16 17 terms of the Releases described herein are set forth fully in the Settlement Agreement, 18 and the Releases are hereby approved in full and incorporated by reference.

19 14. Released Claims shall not include the right of any Class Member or the 20 Releasees to enforce the terms of the Settlement contained in the Settlement Agreement 21 22 and shall not include the claims of those persons identified in the Declaration—who have 23 timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class. 24 15. Upon the Effective Date, the Class Representatives and each Class Member 25 who did not timely and validly exclude himself or herself from the Settlement, shall be 26 deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever 1 2 released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims as against all Releasees.

3 Further, upon the Effective Date, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, the Class 4 Representatives and each Class Member who has not timely and validly excluded himself 5 or herself from the Settlement, shall, either directly, indirectly, representatively, as a 6 7 member of or on behalf of the general public or in any capacity, be permanently barred 8 and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or participating in any recovery in any 9 action in this or any other forum (other than participation in the Settlement as provided 10 herein) in which any of the Released Claims is asserted.

11 12 16. The Court affirms its appointment of Plaintiffs as Class Representative. The 13 Court concludes that the Class Representatives have fairly and adequately represented the 14 Settlement

Class and will continue to do so. 15 17. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Court approves a \$3,500 Service 16 17 Award to each of the Class Representatives. Payment shall be made to the Class 18 Representatives in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

19 18. The Court affirms its appointment of Lynn A. Toops of CohenMalad, LLP; 20 J. Gerard Stranch, IV of Stranch, Jennings & Garvey, PLLC; Samuel J. Strauss of Strauss 21 22 Borrelli PLLC; and Marc H. Edelson of Edelson Lechtzin LLP, as Class Counsel. 23 19.

The Court, after review of the Plaintiff's Fees Motion, finds that Settlement 24 Class Counsel's request for fees of one-third of the value of settlement, or \$1,000,000.00 25 and litigation-related expenses of \$25,425.07 is reasonable.

The Court hereby grants 26 Settlement Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of 1 2 \$1,000,000.00 and litigation-related expenses of \$25,425.07. Payment shall be made 3 pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. 4 20. Class Counsel's award for \$1,000,000—or 1/3 of the non-reversionary 5 common fund—is fair, reasonable, and appropriate in light of: (a) the time and labor 6 7 required, the novelty and complexity of data breach litigation, and the skill necessary to 8 prosecute this action (b) Counsel's experience and reputation in complex class litigation; 9 (c) the contingent nature of Class Counsel's representation and the risk of nonpayment; 10 and (d) the significant benefit conferred upon the Settlement Class.

See, e.g., *In re Anthem 11 12 Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, 327 F.R.D. 299, 315 (N.D. Cal. 2018) (noting that “many of the 13 legal issues presented in [] data-breach case[s] are novel.”); *Smith v. Specialty Networks 14 LLC*, No. 1:24-cv-286, 2025 WL 1944022, at *4 (E. D. Tenn. July 15, 2025) ([C]ontinued 15 litigation would be expensive and delay potential recovery further.

This is especially true 16 17 because data breach cases are very complex....”); *In re Netgain Tech., LLC*, No. 21-cv- 18 1210, 2025 WL 3063288 (D. Minn. Nov. 3, 2025) (citing *In re Sonic Corp. Customer 19 Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 1:17-md-2807, 2019 WL 3773737, at *6 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 20 12, 2019) (“Data breach litigation is complex and risky. This unsettled area of law often 21 22 presents novel questions for courts.

And of course, juries always unpredictable.”). 23 21. This Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, 24 statements, documents, or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement are not, and 25 shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission by or against 26 Defendants of any claim, any fact alleged in the Litigation, any fault, any wrongdoing, 1 2 any violation of law, or any liability of any kind on the part of Defendants' or of the 3 validity or certifiability for litigation of any claims that have been, or could have been, 4 asserted in the Litigation.

This Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, statements, documents, or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or received or be admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, or be used in any way as an admission or concession or evidence of any liability or wrongdoing of any nature or that Plaintiffs, any Class Member, or any other person has suffered any damage; provided, however, that the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment may be filed in any action by Defendants, Settlement Class Counsel, or Class Members seeking to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the Final Order and Judgment (including, but not limited to, enforcing the releases contained herein).

The Settlement Agreement and Final Order and Judgment shall not be construed or be deemed admissible as an admission by Defendants that Plaintiffs' claims or any claims that were or could have been asserted in the Litigation are suitable for class treatment or any other litigation purpose.

The Settlement Agreement's terms shall be forever binding on, and shall have res judicata, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effect in all pending and future causes of action, claims for relief, suits, demands, petitions, lawsuits, or other challenges or proceedings as to Released Claims and other prohibitions set forth in this Final Order and Judgment that are maintained by, or on behalf of, any Class Member or any other person subject to the provisions of this Final Order and Judgment.

22. If the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, this Final Order and Judgment and the Preliminary Approval Order shall be deemed vacated, and shall have no force and effect whatsoever; the Settlement Agreement shall be considered null and void; all of the Parties' obligations under the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Final Order and Judgment and the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Litigation or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated nunc pro tunc, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Litigation, as if the Parties never entered into the Settlement Agreement (without prejudice to any of the Parties' respective positions on the issue of class certification or any other issue).

In such event, the Parties will jointly request that all scheduled litigation deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court so as to avoid undue prejudice. 23. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties with respect to the interpretation and implementation of the Settlement Agreement for all purposes.

21 22 24. In accordance with Rule 23, this Final Order and Judgment resolves all 23 claims against all parties in this Litigation and is a final order. There is no just reason to 24 delay the entry of final judgment in this matter, and the Clerk is directed to file this Final 25 Order and Judgment as the final judgment in this matter. 26 25. Final Judgment and Dismissal.

Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, 1 2 it is hereby ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this Litigation is hereby dismissed with 3 prejudice on the merits, with each side to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees, except 4 as provided under the terms of the Settlement. This is the FINAL JUDGMENT of the 5 Court in this Action. 6 7 Dated this 22nd day of January, 2026.

8 A 9 10 The Honorable Richard A. Jones 11 United States District Judge 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26