

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Raghvendra Singh v. Robert St. Andre, et al.

Singh v. St. Andre · No. 2:25-cv-2036-TLN-CKD (PS) · Decided October 27, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 RAGHVENDRA SINGH, 12 Plaintiff, No. 2:25-cv-2036-TLN-CKD (PS)
13 v. 14 ROBERT ST. ANDRE, et al., ORDER 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff Raghvendra
Singh (“Plaintiff”) filed this action on July 22, 2025. (ECF No. 1.) 19 This matter was referred to
a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

20 On September 15, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which 21
contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 3.) 22
Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 4.) 23 The Court
presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 24 F.2d 207, 208
(9th Cir.

1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 25 See *Robbins v. Carey*,
481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 26 magistrate judge are
reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). 27 Having reviewed the
file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the Court finds the findings and 28 recommendations to be
supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 2 1. The findings and recommendations
filed September 15, 2025, (ECF No. 3), are 3 ADOPTED in full; 4 2. This action is DISMISSED
as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e); and 5 3.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case. 6 Date: October 24, 2025 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28