

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Algie Neal v. GMTCare, LLC

Case No. 2:25-cv-01176-APG-NJK, Docket No. 20 · No. 2:25-cv-01176-APG-NJK · Decided January 16, 2026

SUMMARY

The court grants Plaintiff Algie Neal’s motion to compel discovery of contact information for four former employees of Defendant GMTCare, LLC. The parties must confer on confidentiality protections, after which Defendant must provide the information; the court also extends related deposition and case-dispositive deadlines.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Nevada
DECIDED	January 16, 2026
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01176-APG-NJK
DISPOSITION	granted
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved to compel Defendant to provide contact information for four former employees in response to a request for production. Defendant opposed the motion, principally seeking confidentiality protections.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Discovery rulings are committed to the broad discretion of the trial court.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential district court order
PARTIES	Algie Neal v. GMTCare, LLC

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Discovery

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Defendant must provide contact information for four former employees in response to Plaintiff's request for production.
2. Whether disclosure should be conditioned on confidentiality protections.
3. Whether Plaintiff should receive additional time to depose the former employees and whether related case deadlines should be extended.

HOLDINGS

1. The contact information for the four identified former employees is discoverable, and Defendant must provide information within its possession, custody, or control after the parties establish confidentiality protections.
2. Disclosure of the former employees' contact information must occur after the parties confer and put confidentiality protections in place.
3. Plaintiff may depose the four identified former employees by March 20, 2026, and the dispositive-motion and joint proposed pretrial-order deadlines are extended to April 20, 2026, and May 19, 2026, respectively.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The party resisting discovery must specifically detail the reasons why each request is irrelevant or otherwise objectionable, and may not rely on boilerplate, generalized, conclusory, or speculative arguments.” (at 1)

“Accordingly, the motion to compel is GRANTED as follows.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff sought contact information for four of Defendant's former employees so that he could depose them. Defendant initially indicated that the information was discoverable subject to agreed confidentiality protections, but later opposed disclosure and raised additional objections. The court concluded that the contact information was discoverable subject to confidentiality protections and that the parties should confer regarding those protections.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The motion to compel was pending before the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The court resolved the motion without a hearing, granted it subject to the parties' adoption of confidentiality protections, and extended certain discovery and pretrial deadlines.

DISPOSITION

granted

CASES CITED

- Hallett v. Morgan, 296 F.3d 732, 751 (9th Cir. 2002)

- Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-nevada-united-states-district-court-for-the-district/2026/algie-neal-v-gmtcare-llc-uhdf53k0>