

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

**I.E. v. Jeremy Casey, Warden, Imperial Regional Detention Facility,  
et al.**

I.E. · No. 25cv3227 DMS (DDL) · Decided December 2, 2025

---

OPINION

I.E.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 Case No.: 25cv3227 DMS (DDL) I.E., 11 Petitioner-Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR

12 v. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING  
ORDER

13 JEREMY CASEY, Warden, Imperial Regional Detention Facility; et al., 14 Respondents-  
Defendants. 15 16 17 18 This case comes before the Court on Petitioner's motion for a temporary  
restraining 19 order. Respondents filed an opposition to the motion, and Petitioner filed a reply. 20  
The facts, claims, and arguments in this case are similar to those presented in Sadeqi 21 v.  
LaRose, No. 25-cv-2587-RSH-BJW, 2025 WL 3154520 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2025). The 22 Court  
finds the reasoning of that case persuasive, and adopts and applies it wholesale to 23 this case. The  
only argument Respondents raise here that was not addressed in Sadeqi is 24 Respondents'  
jurisdictional argument, but the Court has already addressed and rejected that 25 argument in  
previous orders. See Soleimani v. LaRose, Case No. 25-cv-3082-DMS-DEB, 26 ECF No. 7 at 4,  
Jimenez Lopez v. Archambeault, Case No. 25cv3029 DMS (BLM), ECF  
27 No. 7 at 3; Vasquez Garcia v. Noem, \_\_\_ F.Supp.3d \_\_\_, Case No. 25-cv-02180-DMS-  
28 / / / 1 || MMP 2025 WL 2549431, at \*3-4 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2025); Medina-Ortiz v. Noem, No.  
2 25-cv-02819-DMS-MMP, ECF No. 7 at 3-4. 3 Based on the reasoning of Sadeqi and this Court's  
orders in the cases cited above, 4 || Petitioner's motion for a temporary restraining order is  
granted. Respondents are directed 5 || to arrange an individualized bond hearing for Petitioner  
before an immigration court within 6 || fourteen (14) days of this Order. On or before December  
16, 2025, the parties shall file a 7 || Joint Status Report confirming Petitioner has been provided  
with that bond hearing. In that 8 Report, the parties shall also provide their respective positions on  
whether a further order 9 the Petition is necessary or if the case may be dismissed as moot. 10 IT

IS SO ORDERED. 11 ||Dated: December 2, 2025 12 nm Bb 3 Hon. Dana M. Sabraw United  
States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

---

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-ca/2025/i-e-v-jeremy-casey-warden-imperial-regional-detention-un7ahab4>