

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

Matter of Thompson v. Brann

2026 NY Slip Op 03679 · No. 2024-04979 · Decided June 10, 2026

OPINION

Matter of Thompson v. Brann 2026 NY Slip Op 03679

PER CURIAM.

Matter of Thompson v Brann - 2026 NY Slip Op 03679 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions Matter of Thompson v Brann 2026 NY Slip Op 03679 June 10, 2026 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. In the Matter of Eon Thompson, appellant, v Cynthia Brann, etc., et al., respondents. Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department Decided on June 10, 2026 2024-04979, (Index No. 1718/20) Angela G. Iannacci, J.P. Linda Christopher Barry E. Warhit Carl J. Landicino, JJ. Eon Thompson, Brooklyn, NY, appellant pro se. Steven Banks, Corporation Counsel, New York, NY (Amy McCamphill and Jonathan Schoepp-Wong of counsel), for respondents. [*1] DECISION & ORDER In a proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78, inter alia, to compel the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Correction to personally conduct the petitioner's disciplinary hearing, the petitioner appeals from an order and judgment (one paper) of the Supreme Court, Queens County (Tracy Catapano-Fox, J.), entered September 15, 2022. The order and judgment granted the respondents' motion pursuant to CPLR 3211(a) and 7804(f) to dismiss the petition and dismissed the proceeding. ORDERED that the order and judgment is affirmed, with costs. The petitioner, an employee of the New York City Department of Correction (hereinafter DOC), commenced this proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78, inter alia, to compel the Commissioner of the DOC to personally conduct a disciplinary hearing on charges made against the petitioner. The respondents moved pursuant to CPLR 3211(a) and 7804(f) to dismiss petition. The Supreme Court granted the respondents' motion and dismissed the proceeding. The petitioner appeals. The sole contention made by the petitioner in his appellate brief is not properly before this Court, as it is raised for the first time on appeal (see Restivo v Lineros , 235 AD3d 915 , 916). Accordingly, we affirm the order and judgment. IANNACCI, J.P., CHRISTOPHER, WARHIT and LANDICINO, JJ., concur. ENTER: Darrell M. Joseph Clerk of the Court Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation

Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-appellate-division-second-judicial-department-supreme-court-o/2026/matter-of-thompson-v-brann-uzmyxok0>