

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

King v. Julia Owner LLC, et al.

No. CV-25-03686-PHX-KML · No. No. CV-25-03686-PHX-KML · Decided April 8, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Arizona denied Troy King's motion for reconsideration of an order denying relief from judgment. The court held that the health crisis and need to await an appointment with a volunteer clinic were information available before the original motion was filed and therefore could not support reconsideration.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Arizona
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Krissa M. Lanham
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Arizona
DECIDED	April 8, 2026
DOCKET	No. CV-25-03686-PHX-KML
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved for reconsideration of the court's prior order denying his motion for relief from judgment.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	A motion for reconsideration may not raise new arguments or introduce new evidence that could have been presented with reasonable diligence during consideration of the original ruling.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished district court order
PARTIES	Troy King v. Julia Owner LLC, et al.

TOPICS

- motion for reconsideration
- civil procedure
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- federal civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether reconsideration was warranted based on King's health crisis and his need to wait for an appointment with the court's volunteer clinic.

HOLDINGS

1. Reconsideration was not warranted because the information concerning King's health and the volunteer clinic was available before he filed his motion for relief from judgment and therefore could not properly support a new argument on reconsideration.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“A motion for reconsideration may not “raise new arguments or introduce new evidence if, with reasonable diligence, the arguments and evidence could have been presented during consideration of the original ruling.”” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

King sought relief from judgment and later moved for reconsideration after the court denied that motion. He attributed the delay in filing his motion for relief from judgment to a health crisis and his need to wait for an appointment with the court's volunteer clinic, but the court found that information had been available before he filed the original motion.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court previously denied King's motion for relief from judgment. King then moved for reconsideration, arguing that the delay in filing the motion was attributable to a health crisis and the need to wait for an appointment with the court's volunteer clinic; the court denied reconsideration.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Berman v. Freedom Fin. Network, LLC, 30 F.4th 849, 859 (9th Cir. 2022)

OPINION

1 WO 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Troy King, No. CV-25-03686-PHX-KML 10 Plaintiff, ORDER 11 v. 12 Julia Owner LLC, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff Troy King seeks reconsideration of the court’s order denying his motion 16 for relief from judgment. (Doc. 15.) King argues the delay in filing his motion for relief 17 from judgment was due to a health crisis and his need to wait for an appointment with the 18 court’s volunteer clinic.

A motion for reconsideration may not “raise new arguments or 19 introduce new evidence if, with reasonable diligence, the arguments and evidence could 20 have been presented during

consideration of the original ruling.” Berman v. Freedom Fin. 21 Network, LLC, 30 F.4th 849, 859 (9th Cir. 2022).

The information regarding King’s health 22 and the volunteer clinic was available before King filed his motion for relief from 23 judgment. 24 / 25 / 26 / 27 / 28 / 1 Accordingly, 2 IT IS ORDERED the Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. 15) is DENIED. 3 Dated this 8th day of April, 2026. 4 CU ian M. eK Honorable Krissa M. Lanham 7 United States District Judge 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -2-