

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Brent Lee Harding v. Correctional Health Services, et al.

Harding · No. 2:21-cv-00922 KJM SCR P · Decided September 10, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California adopted the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations in a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action brought by a pretrial detainee. The court granted summary judgment to defendants Holt and Mencias, directed entry of judgment in defendants' favor, and ordered the case closed.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 BRENT LEE HARDING, No. 2:21-cv-00922 KJM SCR P 12 Plaintiff,
ORDER 13 v. 14 CORRECTIONAL HEALTH SERVICES, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18
Plaintiff, a pretrial detainee during the events underlying his federal claims, filed this civil 19
rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

He is proceeding pro se. The matter was 20 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant
to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 21 302. 22 On August 13, 2025, the magistrate judge
filed findings and recommendations, which 23 were served on all parties and which contained
notice to all parties that any objections to the 24 findings and recommendations were to be filed
within fourteen days.

ECF No. 60. Neither party 25 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 26 The
court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 27 602 F.2d 207,
208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 28 de novo. See
Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law 1 by the
magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 2....”).

Having carefully reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations are 3
thorough and insightful, and supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 4 1. The findings
and recommendations (ECF No. 60) are adopted in full; 5 2. Defendant Holt's motion for
summary judgment (ECF No. 50) is granted; and 6 3. Defendant Mencias' motion for summary
judgment (ECF No. 51) is granted.

7 4. The clerk of the court is directed to grant judgment in defendants' favor and close the 8 case.
9 This order resolves ECF Nos. 50, 51, 60. 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 DATED: September 9,

