

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION

Dwayne Jerald Bond v. Commissioner of Social Security

Bond · No. 1:25CV410 · Decided January 28, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio adopted the Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation because no objections were filed within the time permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). The court affirmed the Commissioner of Social Security’s decision in the plaintiff’s case.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | John R. Adams                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| DECIDED               | January 28, 2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| DOCKET                | 1:25CV410                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| DISPOSITION           | affirmed                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | Plaintiff sought judicial review of the Commissioner's decision. The matter was referred to a magistrate judge, who recommended affirmance. After no timely objections were filed, the district court adopted the report and recommendation and affirmed the Commissioner's decision. |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | The court reviewed the magistrate judge's report and recommendation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) and, because no objections were filed, adopted it without further review.                                                                                             |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | unpublished                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| PARTIES               | Dwayne Jerald Bond v. Commissioner of Social Security                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

TOPICS

- judicial review of agency action
- administrative law
- civil procedure

## PRACTICE AREAS

Social Security

Administrative Law

Civil Procedure

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's report and recommendation when no timely objections were filed.
2. Whether the Commissioner's decision should be affirmed as recommended by the magistrate judge.

## HOLDINGS

1. When no timely objections are filed to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the district court adopted the report and recommendation without conducting further review.
2. The Commissioner's decision was affirmed because the district court adopted the magistrate judge's report and recommendation recommending affirmance.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*"Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources."*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts concerning Bond's claim for Social Security benefits. The decision addresses only the procedural disposition of the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and the absence of timely objections.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 5, 2026, the magistrate judge submitted a report and recommendation recommending that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed. Neither party filed objections within the fourteen-day period provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). The district court adopted the report and recommendation and affirmed the Commissioner.

## DISPOSITION

affirmed

## CASES CITED

- Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984)
- Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991)
- United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981)

## OPINION

Bond

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION

DWAYNE JERAL BOND, ) CASE NO. 1:25CV410

) Plaintiff, ) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS ) -vs- )

) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION

COMMISSIONER ) AND ORDER

OF SOCIAL SECURITY, )

) Defendant. ) On January 5, 2026, the Magistrate Judge in this matter submitted a report and recommendation (Doc. 14) recommending that the Court AFFIRM the decision of the Commissioner. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) provides that the parties may object to a report and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after service. No objections were filed within that time frame. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984); *Howard v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs.*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981). Accordingly, the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby adopted. The decision of the Commissioner is hereby AFFIRMED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 28, 2026 /s/ John R. Adams\_\_\_\_\_

JOHN R. ADAMS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE