

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Mark Higginbotham v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security

No. 1:24-cv-04616, United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (December 31, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of New Jersey grants Plaintiff’s motion for attorney’s fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). The court awards counsel \$26,040.50, representing 25% of Plaintiff’s past-due Social Security benefits, and directs counsel to remit previously awarded EAJA fees of \$7,200.00 to Plaintiff.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Norah McCann King
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
DECIDED	December 31, 2025
DOCKET	1:24-cv-04616
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) for approval of an attorney's fee equal to 25 percent of his past-due Social Security benefits after obtaining a favorable result on judicial review and remand.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished
PARTIES	Mark Higginbotham v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security

TOPICS

- attorney fees
- judicial review of agency action
- administrative law
- remedies
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Social Security
- administrative law
- attorney fees
- civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Plaintiff's counsel was entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) in the amount of 25 percent of Plaintiff's past-due benefits.
2. Whether counsel was required to remit the previously awarded \$7,200.00 in EAJA fees to Plaintiff upon receipt of the § 406(b) fee.

HOLDINGS

1. The requested fee of \$26,040.50, representing 25 percent of Plaintiff's past-due benefits, was reasonable and was approved.
2. Upon receipt of the § 406(b) fee, Plaintiff's counsel was directed to remit the previously awarded \$7,200.00 in EAJA fees to Plaintiff.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Under the Social Security Act, when a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may award that prevailing claimant’s attorney “a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment. . . .””

“IT IS ORDERED that an attorney’s fee in the amount of \$ 26,040.50, which represents 25% of the past-due benefits awarded to Plaintiff, be remitted to Timothy J. Mallo, Esq.”

“Upon receipt of this fee, counsel for Plaintiff is DIRECTED to remit the previously awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$ 7,200.00 to Plaintiff.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Mark Higginbotham applied for Disability Insurance Benefits and challenged the administrative denial with the assistance of counsel. The District Court reversed the Commissioner's decision and remanded the matter in August 2024. On remand, Higginbotham obtained a fully favorable decision and \$104,162.00 in past-due benefits. Counsel reported expending 29.3 hours and sought 25 percent of the past-due benefits, or \$26,040.50.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff challenged the administrative denial of Disability Insurance Benefits in the District of New Jersey. In August 2024, the court adopted the parties' proposal, reversed the Commissioner's decision, and remanded for further proceedings. On remand, Plaintiff received a fully favorable decision and \$104,162.00 in past-due benefits. Plaintiff's counsel then sought \$26,040.50 under § 406(b)(1), and the court granted the motion while directing counsel to remit previously awarded EAJA fees of \$7,200.00 to Plaintiff.

DISPOSITION

other

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

No new remand was ordered in this opinion. Counsel was directed, upon receipt of the approved \$26,040.50 fee, to remit the previously awarded \$7,200.00 in EAJA fees to Plaintiff.

CASES CITED

- *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 807-08 (2002)
- *Tschudy v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 18-3424, 2020 WL 3316403, at *1 (D.N.J. June 18, 2020)

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY MARK HIGGINBOTHAM, Plaintiff, Case No. 1:24-cv-04616 v. Magistrate Judge Norah McCann King FRANK BISIGNANO, Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant. OPINION AND ORDER
This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for an attorney's fee pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). Motion for Attorney Fees, ECF No. 17.

The Commissioner neither supports nor opposes the motion but asks that the Court direct Plaintiff's counsel to remit to Plaintiff the \$ 7,200.00 fee previously awarded under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412 ("EAJA"). Response to Motion, ECF No. 18; see Consent Order, ECF No. 16.

Under the Social Security Act, when a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may award that prevailing claimant's attorney "a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment...." 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).

In determining an appropriate fee under the statute, a court must consider such factors as the character of the representation and results achieved, whether counsel was responsible for delay, and whether the benefits were large in comparison to the time expended by counsel. *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 807-08 (2002).

Moreover, a court may consider the inherent risk of loss associated with representation on a contingency basis. *Tschudy v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 18-3424, 2020 WL 3316403, at *1 (D.N.J. June 18, 2020). Plaintiff applied for Disability Insurance Benefits and, with the assistance of counsel, challenged the administrative denial of that application in this Court.

In August 2024, this Court adopted the parties' proposal, reversed the Commissioner's decision, and remanded the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings. Consent Order, ECF No. 12; Final Judgment, ECF No. 13.

On remand, Plaintiff secured a fully favorable decision, see Notice of Award, attached to Response to Motion, ECF No. 18, PageID# 559-64, and was awarded past-due benefits totaling \$ 104,162.00. *Id.* at PageID# 560. Plaintiff's counsel seeks 25% of that award, for an attorney's fee

of \$ 26,040.50. Timothy J. Mallo, Esq., Plaintiff's well-experienced counsel, represents that he expended 29.3 hours of time on behalf of Plaintiff before this Court.

Id. at PageID# 546, 552. The requested fee therefore represents no more than 25% of the past-due benefits and compensation for Plaintiff's attorney at the rate of approximately \$ 888.75 per hour. This Court concludes that the requested fee is reasonable. Counsel's representation of Plaintiff before this Court resulted in the prompt reversal of the Commissioner's decision and an order of remand.

The favorable result that Plaintiff ultimately realized is a testament to his counsel's competence and efforts. Moreover, counsel was in no way responsible for any delay between the filing of Plaintiff's application and the award of Disability Insurance Benefits. Furthermore, the benefits awarded were not large in comparison to the time expended by counsel.

See *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808. Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees, ECF No. 17, is therefore GRANTED. IT IS ORDERED that an attorney's fee in the amount of \$ 26,040.50, which represents 25% of the past-due benefits awarded to Plaintiff, be remitted to Timothy J. Mallo, Esq. Upon receipt of this fee, counsel for Plaintiff is DIRECTED to remit the previously awarded EAJA fees in the amount of \$ 7,200.00 to Plaintiff.

December 31, 2025 s/ Norah McCann King Norah McCann King United States Magistrate Judge