

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, SECOND DISTRICT

Cabezudo v. Cabezudo

No. 2D2025-3302 · No. 2D2025-3302 · Decided May 29, 2026

SUMMARY

The Florida Second District Court of Appeal restricts Geraldine Cabezudo from making future pro se filings related to specified lower tribunal cases unless the filings are signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. The restriction follows the petitioner's initiation of six proceedings and filing of more than 200 largely duplicative or unauthorized documents, as well as her failure to timely respond to the court's order to show cause.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Second District
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; Kelly; Morris; Atkinson
JURISDICTION	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Second District
DECIDED	May 29, 2026
DOCKET	2D2025-3302
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petition for writ of prohibition seeking appellate relief from the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; the court issued an order restricting the petitioner's future pro se filings.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- sanctions
- writ of certiorari
- civil procedure
- family law procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- family law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the court should restrict the petitioner's future pro se notices of appeal and petitions because of repeated duplicative or unauthorized filings.

HOLDINGS

1. When a litigant has initiated multiple proceedings and filed more than 200 largely duplicative or unauthorized documents, the appellate clerk may be directed to reject or place in an inactive file the litigant's future pro se notices of appeal or petitions unless they concern a pending case or are signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"Accordingly, we direct the clerk of this court to place in an inactive file any pro se notices of appeal or petitions filed by Petitioner related to lower tribunal cases 25-2342-FD-12 and 522025CA005862XXCICI unless the filing is signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar." (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Geraldine Cabezudo initiated six proceedings and filed more than 200 documents in the appellate court, many of which were duplicative or unauthorized. The court identified filings related to lower tribunal cases 25-2342-FD-12 and 522025CA005862XXCICI as subject to prospective filing restrictions.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The petitioner initiated six proceedings and filed more than 200 documents that the court characterized as largely duplicative or unauthorized. After issuing an order to show cause on January 12, 2026, directing the petitioner to explain why future pro se filings should not be restricted, the court received no timely response and entered the restriction order.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- State v. Spencer, 751 So. 2d 47, 48-49 (Fla. 1999)

OPINION

Cabezudo v. Cabezudo

PER CURIAM.

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

SECOND DISTRICT

GERALDINE CABEZUDO,

Petitioner, v.

ANDREW CABEZUDO,

Respondent. No. 2D2025-3302 May 29, 2026 Petition for Writ of Prohibition to the Circuit Court for Pinellas County; Jack Helinger, Judge. Geraldine Cabezudo, pro se. No appearance for Respondent.

ORDER RESTRICTING PETITIONER FROM FUTURE PRO SE FILINGS

PER CURIAM. On January 12, 2026, this court issued an order to show cause detailing Petitioner's initiation of six proceedings and filing of over 200 documents that were largely duplicative or unauthorized. The order directed Petitioner to show cause why this court should not direct the clerk of this court to reject any pleadings from Petitioner unless the filing is related to a pending case or submitted by a licensed Florida attorney. See *State v. Spencer*, 751 So. 2d 47, 48-49 (Fla. 1999). Petitioner did not file a timely response to our order. Accordingly, we direct the clerk of this court to place in an inactive file any pro se notices of appeal or petitions filed by Petitioner related to lower tribunal cases 25-2342-FD-12 and 522025CA005862XXCICI unless the filing is signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. See *id.* KELLY, MORRIS, and ATKINSON, JJ., Concur. Opinion subject to revision prior to official publication. 2