

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Rayon Jones v. J. Quiring

Jones v. Quiring · No. 2:24-cv-1933-TLN-JDP (P) · Decided January 15, 2026

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 RAYON JONES, 12 Plaintiff, No. 2:24-cv-1933-TLN-JDP (P) 13 v. 14 J.
QUIRING, ORDER 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff Rayon Jones (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner
proceeding pro se, has filed this civil 18 rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On December 4, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and
recommendations, which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties
that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days.

This deadline has passed 23 and neither party has filed objections to the findings and
recommendations. 24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v.*
United States, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979).

The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481
F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the 27 magistrate judge are reviewed
de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). 28 The court has reviewed the file
and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by 1 | the record and by the
magistrate judge’s analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed
December 4, 2025, (ECF No. 35) are 4 ADOPTED in full; and 5 2. Plaintiff’s Motion for
Preliminary Injunctive relief, (ECF No. 30), is DENIED. 6 | Date: January 14, 2026 4? TROY L.
NUNLEY 10 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
23 24 25 26 27 28