

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Tamara King v. State of California

King · No. 2:25-cv-1510-DJC-JDP (PS) · Decided September 8, 2025

OPINION

Tamara King v. State of California

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 TAMARA KING, Case No. 2:25-cv-1510-DJC-JDP (PS) 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

15 Defendants. 16

17 This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 18 U.S.C. § 636(b)
(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On July 30, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed 19 findings and
recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which 20 contained notice that any
objections to the findings and recommendations were to be 21 filed within fourteen days. No
objections were filed. 22 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v.*
United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge’s conclusions of law 24
are reviewed de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) 25
("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the 26 district
court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds 27 the findings
and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper 28 1 || analysis. 2
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 3 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations
filed July 30, 2025, are 4 ADOPTED; 5 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to
prosecute, failure to 6 comply with court orders, and failure to state a claim for the reasons set 7
forth in the court’s June 5, 2025 order. See ECF No. 3; 8 3. Plaintiff’s request for homeless
funding, ECF No. 4, is DENIED as moot; and 9 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.
10 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 || Dated: _September 5, 2025 “Daal A CoO □□□□ Hon. Daniel
alabretta

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28