

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Hinds v. Mueller, consolidated with Mueller v. Hinds

Hinds v. Mueller · No. 84077, consolidated with 83412 · Decided November 3, 2022

SUMMARY

This document is a Nevada Supreme Court combined case view for consolidated appeals in Hinds v. Mueller and Mueller v. Hinds, docketed as Nos. 83412 and 84077. The court granted rehearing and issued an amended order on November 3, 2022, affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the matter to the district court.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice
JURISDICTION	Nevada
DECIDED	November 3, 2022
DOCKET	84077, consolidated with 83412
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Civil family-law appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County; the appeal was consolidated with docket 83412.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished or nonprecedential dispositional order; the supplied docket identifies an order rather than a published opinion.
PARTIES	Cristina A. Hinds v. Craig Allen Mueller

TOPICS

family law procedure appellate procedure family law

PRACTICE AREAS

family law appellate procedure civil procedure

KEY QUOTATIONS

“ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED IN PART AND REVERSED IN PART AND REMAND this matter to the district court for proceedings consistent with this order.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The docket identifies this as a consolidated civil family-law appeal involving Cristina A. Hinds and Craig Allen Mueller. The available document is a dispositional order and does not provide the underlying factual record or substantive analysis.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Cristina A. Hinds appealed from a judgment of the Clark County District Court in case D571065. The Nevada Supreme Court consolidated the appeal with docket 83412, granted rehearing, and issued an amended order affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the matter to the district court.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The matter was remanded to the district court for proceedings consistent with the amended order. The available docket text does not specify the particular proceedings required.