

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS

Cathern Bernice Downes v. Commissioner of Social Security

Downes · No. 3:23-cv-1314-RJD · Decided December 9, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois granted Plaintiff’s motion for attorney’s fees under § 206(b)(1) of the Social Security Act. The court awarded counsel \$7,633.18 from Plaintiff’s past-due benefits and ordered counsel to refund \$6,995.24 in previously received EAJA fees to Plaintiff. The order relied on the contingent-fee agreement, the 25-percent statutory limit, the results obtained, and the reasonableness of the requested fee under *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Reona J. Daly
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois
DECIDED	December 9, 2025
DOCKET	3:23-cv-1314-RJD
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved for attorney's fees under § 206(b)(1) of the Social Security Act after the Court reversed and remanded the administrative decision under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and the Commissioner subsequently awarded Plaintiff benefits.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The Court independently reviewed the contingent-fee arrangement and circumstances of the representation to determine whether the requested § 406(b)(1) fee was reasonable.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished district court memorandum and order; nonprecedential.
PARTIES	Cathern Bernice Downes v. Commissioner of Social Security

TOPICS

- attorney fees
- judicial review of agency action
- administrative law
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the requested fee of \$7,633.18 was reasonable and permissible under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).
2. Whether counsel was required to refund the previously awarded EAJA fees to Plaintiff upon approval of the § 406(b)(1) fee.

HOLDINGS

1. The requested fee of \$7,633.18 was reasonable under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) and was approved for payment from Plaintiff's past-due benefits.
2. Upon receipt of the § 406(b)(1) fee, counsel must refund the \$6,995.24 in EAJA fees previously received in the matter to Plaintiff.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Most plausibly read, we conclude, § 406(b) does not displace contingent-fee agreements as the primary means by which fees are set for successfully representing Social Security benefits claimants in court. Rather, § 406(b) calls for court review of such arrangements as an independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases.” (535 U.S. at 807)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff's counsel represented Plaintiff in obtaining a reversal and sentence-four remand of the Social Security decision. On remand, the Commissioner found Plaintiff disabled beginning in September 2019 and awarded \$59,332.70 in past-due benefits, withholding \$7,633.18 for attorney's fees. The fee agreement provided for 25 percent of past-due benefits, and counsel had previously received \$6,995.24 in EAJA fees.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Court previously reversed and remanded the action for further proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On remand, the Commissioner awarded Plaintiff past-due benefits of \$59,332.70 and withheld \$7,633.18 for attorney's fees. Plaintiff then moved for approval of a § 406(b)(1) fee; the Court granted the motion and directed counsel to refund previously received EAJA fees to Plaintiff.

DISPOSITION

other

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

No further remand was ordered. Upon receipt of the § 406(b)(1) payment, Plaintiff's counsel must refund \$6,995.24 in EAJA fees to Plaintiff.

CASES CITED

- *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 807 (2002)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-il/2025/cathern-bernice-downes-v-commissioner-of-social-security-wajcgojc>