

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Miholich v. The Real Brokerage, Inc.

Miholich · No. 24-cv-01038-AGS-JLB · Decided June 4, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of California granted Defendants’ motion to file specified documents under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79.2 and the parties’ protective order. The Court found good cause to seal the documents because they contained confidential business information.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jill L. Burkhardt
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of California
DECIDED	June 4, 2025
DOCKET	24-cv-01038-AGS-JLB
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendants moved to file documents under seal pursuant to Southern District of California Local Rule 79.2 and the parties' protective order.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court applied the good-cause standard for sealing the documents.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished district court order; precedential status not stated

TOPICS

civil procedure commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether defendants established good cause under Local Rule 79.2 and the parties' protective order to file specified documents under seal.

HOLDINGS

1. Defendants established good cause to seal the specified documents because they contained confidential business information, and the motion to seal was therefore granted.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Defendants sought to seal a surreply, declarations, and related exhibits submitted in connection with a motion concerning plaintiff's reply brief. The documents contained confidential business information. The court found that defendants had established good cause for sealing.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Defendants sought leave to file under seal documents submitted in connection with their motion to strike new arguments in plaintiff's reply brief or, alternatively, for permission to file a surreply. The court granted the motion to seal and directed the Clerk to file the specified unredacted documents under seal.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006)