

DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, FOURTH DISTRICT

Mitchell v. Osceola Farms Co.

574 So. 2d 1162 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1991) · No. No. 89-3038 · Decided February 6, 1991

SUMMARY

The Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal reviewed a cost judgment entered after the appellants voluntarily dismissed their case before trial. The court held that certain office expenses, surveillance expenses, and other listed items were not taxable costs, affirmed taxation of the remaining costs, and remanded for an amended cost judgment.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	District Court of Appeal of Florida, Fourth District
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Garrett, J.; Gunther, J.; Walden, James H., Associate Judge (Retired)
JURISDICTION	Florida
DECIDED	February 6, 1991
DOCKET	No. 89-3038
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from a trial court cost judgment entered after appellants voluntarily dismissed their action on the eve of trial.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Abuse of discretion as to the trial court's taxation of costs.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published opinion
PARTIES	James Mitchell, Ernestine Mitchell v. Osceola Farms Co., Flo-Sun Land Corporation

TOPICS

costs appellate procedure civil procedure discovery dispute standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure appellate procedure costs

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether office photocopying, postage, long-distance telephone calls, travel expenses, and courier service were taxable as litigation costs.
2. Whether surveillance film expenses were taxable as costs under the Statewide Uniform Guidelines for Taxation of Costs in Civil Actions.
3. Whether the trial court abused its discretion by taxing the cost of copies obtained during discovery and not used at trial.

HOLDINGS

1. Office photocopying, postage, long-distance telephone calls, travel expenses, and courier service should not have been taxed as costs.
2. Surveillance film expenses are not taxable as costs.
3. The trial court did not abuse its discretion by taxing the cost of copies obtained during discovery.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Generally, the cost of copies obtained during course of discovery and not used at trial should not be taxed, but the presiding judge should exercise his discretion on the taxability of the cost of such copies if the facts of the entire case warrant an award of the cost of such copies.” (1163)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The appellants voluntarily dismissed their action on the eve of trial. The appellees obtained a cost judgment that included surveillance expenses, office photocopying, postage, long-distance telephone calls, travel expenses, courier service, and copies of records, reports, and exhibits obtained during discovery.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

After the appellants filed a voluntary dismissal, the appellees sought taxation of litigation costs, including miscellaneous expenses. The trial court taxed the challenged costs, and the appellants appealed. The Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed in part, affirmed in part, and remanded for entry of an amended cost judgment.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Enter an amended cost judgment consistent with the opinion, reversing the taxation of office photocopying (\$1,017.25), postage (\$70.24), long-distance telephone calls (\$92.17), travel expenses (\$96.50), courier service (\$94.50), and surveillance (\$1,398.36), while affirming all other taxed costs.

CASES CITED

- Vogel v. Allen, 443 So. 2d 368 (Fla. 5th DCA 1983)

- Bolton v. Bolton, 412 So. 2d 72, 73 (Fla. 2d DCA 1982)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/district-court-of-appeal-of-florida-fourth-district/1991/mitchell-v-osceola-farms-co-wcdrvbm>